HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 25 of 2024

Ajay

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State Of Up Others

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s) : Ravindra Pratap Singh, Vishal Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 84

HON'BLE LAKSHMI KANT SHUKLA, J.

1. Even thought the names of Mr. Ravindra Pratap Singh and Mr. Vishal Singh are duly published in the cause list as counsel for revisionist but neither they nor any one on their behalf appears to press this revision even in revised call.

2. Learned AGA for State is present.

3. On the other hand, despite service of notice no one has put in appearance on behalf of opposite party no. 2.

4. The present criminal revision is being disposed of of with the assistance of learned AGA for the State and perusal of the record.

5. The revisionist has approached this Court by means of present criminal revision assailing the impugned judgment and order dated 11.09.2023 passed by Additional Principal Judge, Family Court No. 1, Ghaziabad, in Case No. 844 of 2018, Smt. Komal and another vs. Ajay, under Section 125 Cr.P.C. (herein after referred as to "the Trial court") wherein the trial court partly allowed the application of Smt. Komal and ordered the revisionist to pay Rs. 2000/- each to opposite party no. 2 and 3 from the date of application as maintenance.

6. Feeling aggrieved by the impugned order, the present criminal revision has been filed by the revisionists on the ground that the impugned order is wholly illegal, perverse, erroneous and unjustified, being contrary to the evidence available on record. It is further contended that the impugned

order suffers from manifest errors of law and has been passed in an arbitrary manner. A prayer has, accordingly, been made for allowing the present criminal revision and setting aside the impugned order.

7. Learned AGA has submitted that the trial court before passing the impugned order dated 11.09.2023 has given its finding and the trial court has passed the order of maintenance in favour of opposite party nos. 2 and 3 from the concerned revisionist. It is further submitted that the amount of maintenance Rs. 4000/- of two persons is very meager. In view of the above the present criminal revision has been filed only to avoid of payment of the aforesaid amount and the present criminal revision has no force and is liable to be dismissed.

8. The perused the record and submission of learned AGA that the aforesaid maintenance of Rs. 4,000/- per month to maintain two persons cannot be assured to excessive as to warrant interference by this Court, therefore, there is no good ground to interfere in the impugned judgment and order.

9. Accordingly, the present revision is devoid of merit and is **dismissed**.

(Lakshmi Kant Shukla,J.)

October 29, 2026
v.k. updh.

Digitally signed by :-
VINOD KUMAR UPADHYAY
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad