HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 6941/2026
CNR: RJHC010792362026 | URN: CRLMP / 12163U / 2026

Sohel Khan S/o Shri Rajjak Khan, Aged About 23 Years, Resident Of Chaman Chouraha, Neembara, Nemli-Uran, District -Pali. (Raj)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor.
2. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Regional Director Having Its Office At Rambagh Circle Tonk Road Jaipur.
3. Director General Of Police, Cyber Crime Police, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
4. The Kotak Mahindra Bank, Through Its Branch Manager, Branch Suraj Pole Road, Dist. Pali.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. O.P. Sangwa Mr. Dinesh Kashniya
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Prem Singh Panwar, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR
Order

10/08/2026

1. The instant criminal misc. petition under Section 528 BNSS has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"(i) Issue appropriate direction to commanding the Respondent Bank to immediately unfreeze the current account of the Petitioner bearing Account No. 5051638677, hold with the kotak Mahindra Bank.*
> *(ii) Order that the respondent Bank shall be at liberty to transfer the amount to the original account from which it was credited to the petitioner's account.*
> *(iii) Direct the Respondent Bank to provide written reasons for the freezing of the account and any communication received from Cyber Crime authorities, if any.*
> *(iv) Award costs of this petition in favour of the Petitioner.*
> *(v) Any other appropriate order or direction which is deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstance by this Hon'ble Court may also be passed in favour of the petitioner's committee."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this criminal

misc. petition with a direction to the Kotak Mahindra Bank (Respondent No.4) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the instant criminal misc. petition is disposed of.

7. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

67/Sonia/694