**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**
**AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 6806/2026
CNR: RJHC010782542026 | URN: CRLMP / 11962U / 2026

Nathu Lal Gurjer S/o Udai Lal, Aged About 36 Years, Moti Bukan Ka Kheda District Chittorgarh.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Station House Officer, Ps Konanakunte District Banglore City Karnatka
3. The India Post Payment Bank, Through Manager /nodal Officer Chittorgarh
4. DIG, Cyber Crime Headquarter Jaipur Rajasthan

----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sikander Khan
For Respondent(s) : Mr. P.K. Bhati, PP

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR**

**Order**

**10/08/2026**

1. The instant criminal misc. petition under Section 528 BNSS has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"(1). By the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction the impugned action of the respondent bank and the respondent investigation agency and unconstitutional, Consequently, the same may be quashed, set aside and rendered null and void in the interest of justice.*
> *(2). By an appropriate writ, order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed to de-freeze the Bank account No. Acountc no. 50200091094410 IFSC CODE HDFC0009668 named as Vegetable Company effectively. D.N.D*
> *(3). By an appropriate writ order or direction, the respondent may kindly be directed to not to freeze the bank account of the Petitioner in future without prior notice....."*

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this misc.

petition with a direction to the India Post Payment Bank (respondent No.3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from his bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

79/Dinesh Suthar