

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 6828/2026
CNR: RJHC010779942026 | URN: CRLMP / 11994U / 2026

1.

Anjali Tiwari W/o Manish Tiwari, Aged About 38 Years, S S 750 Sector G Lda Colony Kanpur Road District Lucknow Up Presently Residing At Ward No 30 Sardarshahar Road Bombay Colony Aadsar Baas Sri Dungargarh District Bikaner Rajasthan.
2.

Raj Kumar Soni S/o Pawan Kumar, Aged About 30 Years, Ward No 30 Sardarshahar Road Bombay Colony Aadsar Baas Sri Dungargarh Distric Bikaner Rajasthan

-----Petitioners

Versus

1.

State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary Department Of Home Affairs Govt. Of Rajasthan Jaipur Rajasthan
2.

Inspector General Of Police, Jaipur Range Jaipur
3.

Superintended Of Police, Dist. Police Bikaner
4.

Sho, Police Station Shri Dungargarh Dist Bikaner
5.

Manish Tiwari S/o Tarkeshwar Tiwari, S S 750 Sector G Lda Colony Kanpur Road District Lucknow U P
6.

Geetu Tiwari, S S 750 Sector G Lda Colony Kanpur Road District Lucknow U P
7.

Amrish Tiwari S/o Tarkeshwar Tiwari, S S 750 Sector G Lda Colony Kanpur Road District Lucknow U P

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)

:

Mr. Ankur Limba

For Respondent(s)

:


Mr. Prem Singh Panwar, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

10/08/2026

The criminal writ petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction for being provided with adequate security and protection.



The petitioners both being major persons claim to be in a live in relationship. They submit that they are living with each other against the wishes of their parents and thus, they feel threat at the hands of private respondents, who are their relatives. The petitioners allegedly approached the concerned respondent authorities with a prayer to be provided with adequate protection but no heed has been paid to their request so far.

The documents pertaining to the age of the petitioners and an *ikrarnama* verifying the factum of them being in a live in relationship have been filed on record. Thus, taking cue from the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. Reported in AIR 2006 SC 2522***, the prayer made by the petitioners for directing the concerned respondent authorities to provide protection to the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

The concerned respondent authorities shall have the matter enquired into and if so required, appropriate protection shall be provided to the petitioners as and when warranted. The concerned respondent authorities shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners, who are in a live in relationship.

The criminal writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J