HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 6600/2026
CNR: RJHC010764352026 | URN: CRLMP / 11603U / 2026

Suman W/o Ganpat Lal, Aged About 61 Years, R/o Modi Joshiyan, Mori Joshiyan, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan. 342027.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp
2. Branch Manager, State Bank Of India, Branch Jodhpur Main Branch High Court Campus Jodhpur, Rajasthan
3. Nodal Officer, State Bank Of India, Branch Jodhpur Main Branch High Court Campus Jodhpur, Rajasthan
4. The Circle Officer, State Bank Of India, Jodhpur, Rajasthan
5. Dig, Cyber Crime, R/o Police Head Quarters Rajasthan
6. Inspector Cum Sho, Ps Cantt, District Varuna Commissionerate Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh
7. Reserve Bank Of India, Through Its Regional Director, Jaipur

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sanjay Bishnoi
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Prem Singh Panwar, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

03/08/2026

1. The instant criminal misc. petition under Section 528 BNSS has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"It is, therefore, most humbly and respectfully prayed on behalf of the petitioners that present misc. petition may kindly be allowed, and the action of the respondents in freezing the bank account of the petitioner bearing Account No. 33099544248, State Bank of India, Branch Jodhpur Main Branch High Court Campus Jodhpur, Rajasthan; IFSC Code: SBIN0000659, may kindly be declared illegal and arbitrary and the respondents may*

be directed to immediately unfreeze/de-freeze the said bank account of the petitioner.

In alternate the respondents may kindly be directed to not freeze the bank account of the petitioner in future without prior notice and without following the due process of law and principles of natural justice.

Any other appropriate order or direction which may be deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may be passed in favour of the petitioner."

2. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the State Bank of India (Respondent No. 2 and 3) to keep the disputed amount (the amount which was transferred illegally in the bank account of the petitioner) frozen and allow the petitioner to make transactions from her bank account from the remaining balance.

3. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent – Bank has not received the information regarding the exact figure of the disputed amount, which the Investigating Officer/Police alleges to be receipt(s) of the offence, the bank shall send a communication to the concerned Investigating Officer/Police, to indicate the amount to be earmarked for lien, while endorsing a copy of the instant order.

4. Upon receipt of such communication/letter, the concerned Investigating Officer/Police shall be under an obligation to apprise the respondent - Bank about the amount to be kept in lien, within a period of seven days of receiving the communication from the respondent - Bank. The respondent – bank shall thereafter do the needful as directed herein above.

5. It is further made clear that in case, the respondent-Bank does not receive any reply from the concerned Investigating

Officer/Police, then it shall be duty bound to act in accordance with the instant order.

6. Stay petition as well as all pending application, if any, stand disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

166/Anil Kumar Choudhary