

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9977/2026
CNR: RJHC010746762026 | URN: CRLMB / 21843U / 2026

Hannuta Ram Alias Hanuta Ram S/o Bhagirath Ram, Aged About 33 Years, Resident Of Basni Ki Dhani, Kaparda, P.s. Kaparda, District Jodhpur (Rajasthan) (At Present Lodged In Central Jail, Jodhpur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Jagdish Bhadu
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hanuman Prajapati, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU


Order

04/08/2026

Heard learned counsel for the parties, and perused the material available on record.

The petitioner has been arrested in connection with FIR No.198/2026 of Police Station Pipar Sahar, District Jodhpur Rural, for the offences punishable under Sections 8/22 and 25 of NDPS Act. He has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the alleged contraband 6.35 grams MDMA has been recovered from the petitioner which is below commercial quantity. It is stated that there are no criminal antecedents against the petitioner under the NDPS Act and the petitioner is behind the bars since 17.07.2026 and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude.



Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

This Court has considered the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner and finds that the alleged contraband 6.35 grams MDMA has been recovered from the petitioner which is below commercial quantity. There are no criminal antecedents against the petitioner under the NDPS Act and the petitioner is behind the bars since 17.07.2026.

Hence, keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the case; conclusion of the trial of the case is likely to take time; the narcotic contraband alleged to be recovered from the petitioner is below commercial quantity; the prosecution has not shown any apprehension of the petitioner fleeing away from justice, in case he is enlarged on bail; but, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it just and proper to grant bail to the petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS.

Accordingly, this bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS is **allowed** and it is directed that petitioner – **Hannuta Ram Alias Hanuta Ram S/o Bhagirath Ram**, shall be released on bail in connection with aforesaid FIR, if not wanted in any other case, provided he executes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J