HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9579/2025
CNR: RJHC010735372025 | URN: CRLMB / 19813U / 2025

Dalchand S/o Nandlal, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Mokhampura, Police Station Rratapnagar, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Priyanka Borana Mr. Jagdish Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Urja Ram Kalbi, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

06/08/2026

1. This bail application under Section 482 BNSS has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest. The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	143/2025
2.	Date of lodging FIR	20.06.2025
3.	Concerned Police Station	Sadar Bhilwara
4.	District	Bhilwara
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Sections 112(2), 318(4), 61(2)(a) of BNS and Section 13 of Raj. Public Gambling Ordinance and Sections 66C and 66D of Information Technology Act, 2000.
6.	Offences added, if any	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have been levelled against him. Co-accused- Gopal has been granted

regular bail by learned trial court. Petitioner has been made accused merely on the basis of suspicion so also considering his past criminal antecedents. The petitioner has already joined investigation in compliance of the order dated 18.09.2025 passed by this Court. The mobile of the petitioner has already been recovered and no further recovery is to be made from him. The interrogation has been made and no further interrogation is to be made from him and thus, no fruitful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars. Therefore, the petitioner may be extended benefit of anticipatory bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the grant of benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case so also considering the facts that co-accused- Gopal has been granted regular bail; petitioner has been made accused merely on the basis of suspicion so also considering his past criminal antecedents; the petitioner has already joined investigation; and interrogation has already been made, in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of petitioner**- Dalchand S/o Nandlal,** in connection with the aforesaid FIR, the petitioner shall be released on bail; provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each along with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the concerned Investigating Officer/S.H.O. on the following conditions :-

(i). that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii). that the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or any police officer; and

(iii). that the petitioner shall not leave India without previous permission of the court.

7. However, in case of any non-cooperation of the petitioner in the trial, the respondent would be at liberty to seek cancellation of this bail.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J

62/Ajay Singh