

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2400/2026
CNR: RJHC010177722026 | URN: CRLMB / 5381U / 2026

Anwar Khan S/o Hakamdeen Mewa, Aged About 28 Years, Near
Masjid Untaka Mewat Haryana

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through The Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sameer Khan
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Lalit Kishor Sen, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL


Order

10/08/2026

1. This bail application under Section 482 BNSS has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest. The requisite details of the matter are tabulated herein below:

S.No.	Particulars of the Case	
1.	FIR Number	322/2019
2.	Date of lodging FIR	06.12.2019
3.	Concerned Police Station	Gangapur (Bhilwara)
4.	District	Bhilwara
5.	Offences alleged in the FIR	Section 379 of IPC.
6.	Offences added, if any	-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and false allegations have been levelled against him. The offence alleged against the present petitioner is triable by Magistrate. There is no criminal antecedent against the present petitioner. Co-accused Tayyab has already been enlarged on regular bail and the stolen article has already




been recovered from him and thus, no fruitful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars. Therefore, the petitioner may be extended benefit of anticipatory bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the grant of benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the rival submissions, facts and circumstances of this case and perused the case dairy so also considering the facts that the offence alleged against the present petitioner is triable by Magistrate; there is no criminal antecedent against the present petitioner; co-accused Tayyab has already been enlarged on regular bail and the stolen article has already been recovered from him; in the considered opinion of this Court, no fruitful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars. Thus, without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

6. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of petitioner- **Anwar Khan S/o Hakamdeen Mewa**, in connection with the aforesaid FIR, the petitioner shall be released on bail; provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each along with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the concerned Investigating Officer/S.H.O. on the following conditions :-



- (i). that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii). that the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or any police officer; and
- (iii). that the petitioner shall not leave India without previous permission of the court.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J