HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 23201/2026
CNR: RJHC021111532026 | URN: CW / 48943U / 2026

Umashanker Sharma S/o Bhonri Lal Sharma, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Geeta Bhawan, 138/15, Shiv Bharti Chak, Dausa-303303 At Present Working As Iec Coordinator, Egs/ Vb-G Ram-G, Zila Parishad Bharatpur

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Commissioner, Egs/vb-G Ram G, Department Of Rural Development, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur
3. District Project Coordinator Cum District Collector, District Bharatpur
4. The Chief Executive Officer Cum Additional District Project Coordinator, Egs/vb-G Ram-G, Zila Parishad Bharatpur

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Devendra Kumar Bharadwaj with Ms. Akshita Bharadwaj
For Respondent(s)	:	

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

23/11/2026

1. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the instant writ petition has already been set at rest by the Division Bench of this Court in the case of **State of Rajasthan and Ors. vs. Dinesh Kumar Choudhary and Ors.** while deciding **D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No.535/2019** vide order dated 26.05.2019. Counsel submits that the petitioner wants to file a representation before the respondents. Counsel further

submits that the respondents be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner expeditiously.

2. Heard and considered the submission made at the Bar.

3. Considering the limited submission so made by counsel for the petitioner, the instant writ petition stands disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a representation before the respondents.

4. In case, such representation is submitted by the petitioner within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, it is expected from the respondents to decide the said representation, expeditiously as early as possible.

5. Before parting with this order, it is made clear that this Court has not entered into the merits of the case and has not issued any direction to the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner in a particular way. The respondents would be at liberty to decide the same strictly in accordance with law by passing a reasoned speaking order.

6. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

Crt_5/D-A/76/Dheeraj