HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 22909/2026
CNR: RJHC021095372026 | URN: CW / 48416U / 2026

Ramdev Meena S/o Mool Chand Meena, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Village Bahakwa, Post Mundia, Tehsil Niwai, District Tonk, Rajasthan - 304021.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Department Of Home, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan - 302005.
2. The Rajasthan Public Service Commission, Through Its Secretary, Ghooghra Ghati, Jaipur Road, Ajmer, Rajasthan - 305001.
3. Director General Of Police, Rajasthan, Police Headquarters, Lal Kothi, Jaipur, Rajasthan - 302015.
4. The Additional Director General Of Police, Recruitment And Promotion Board, Rajasthan, Police Headquarters, Lal Kothi, Jaipur, Rajasthan - 302015.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Harendar Neel Mr. Rohan Gupta Mr. Harsh Madan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. M.F. Baig

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

20/11/2026

1. By way of filing the instant writ petition, a challenge has been led to the impugned order dated 10.07.2026, passed by the Rajasthan Public Service Commission (for short ‘RPSC’), by which the petitioner has been debarred from appearing in all recruitment

examinations conducted by the RPSC for a period of one year from 11.01.2026 to 10.01.2027.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to an inadvertent mistake, the petitioner submitted an application to participate in the selection process for appointment on the post of Deputy Commandant, Home Department. The advertisement dated 18.03.2025 was issued by the RPSC for recruitment to the post of Deputy Commandant and the essential qualification criteria in the aforesaid advertisement was that the candidate applying must be an Ex-Captain. Although the petitioner has not filled his category as Ex-Captain, but only on the pretext that the petitioner was not eligible to submit the application form to participate in the aforesaid selection process, a harsh condition of debarment for a period of one year from participating in all recruitment processes of the RPSC has been imposed on the petitioner. Hence, interference of this Court is warranted.

3. In the considered opinion of this Court, the punishment of debarment is very harsh and the matter is required to be revisited by the RPSC. The petitioner is directed to file a representation before the RPSC for redressal of his grievances.

4. In case any such representation is filed by the petitioner within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, it is expected from the respondent/RPSC to make all possible endeavours to decide the same expeditiously, preferably within a period of three weeks thereafter.

5. In case the representation submitted by the petitioner is allowed, the respondents shall allow the petitioner to participate in the further selection processes on the post of Sub-Inspector in

pursuance of the advertisement dated 17.07.2025, issued by the RPSC. It goes without saying that if any adverse order is passed against the petitioner, he would be at liberty to approach the appropriate forum of law.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the instant petition stands disposed of.

7. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

Crt_5/D-A/42/ANMOL