HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 18119/2026
CNR: RJHC021094492026 | URN: CRLMB / 33266U / 2026

Banwarilal Son of Shri Babulal, Aged about 29 years, R/o Kua Wali Dhani Ratanpura, Police Station Jhanpada District Dausa. (At present accused-petitioner confined in District Jail Dausa).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan Through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajeev Sharma with Mr. Avi Sharma & Mr. Mukesh Saini
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

18/11/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.42/2026-27 registered at Police Station Abkari Nirodhak Dal Dausa, District Dausa (Rajasthan) for offences punishable under Sections 16/54 and 54A of Rajasthan Excise Act, 1950.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate. It is submitted that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since 27.10.2026 and further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

Digitally signed by
LALIT MOHAN
Date: 2026.11.26
11:08:14 IST

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of petitioner. It is submitted that there is one criminal antecedent against the petitioner of similar nature.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, especially the fact that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate, as also the fact that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the period of custody, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow the bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner – **Banwarilal Son of Shri Babulal** shall be released on bail provided, he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/-, each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. Considering the criminal antecedent of the petitioner, it is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station till December, 2027.

8. Concerned SHO shall enter attendance of the petitioner in the Roznamcha. In case the petitioner fails to mark his presence in the concerned police station, the concerned SHO is directed to

immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

9. If any breach of these conditions is reported or come to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to him by this Court.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

11. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

96/LALIT MOHAN