HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 18086/2026
CNR: RJHC021087632026 | URN: CRLMB / 33210U / 2026

Mamta Pooniya D/o Shri Pokhermal W/o Shri Mahendra Takhar, Aged About 29 Years, Resident of Pooniya Ki Dhani Tan Ralawata, Police Station Renwal, District Jaipur Rajasthan. (At Present Confined in Central Jail, District Jaipur).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan Through P.P.

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 18087/2026
CNR: RJHC021087652026 | URN: CRLMB / 33211U / 2026

Dhudaram Pooniya S/o Shri Kaluram, Aged About 71 Years, Resident of Pooniya Ki Dhani, Ralawata, Police Station Renwal, District Jaipur Rajasthan (Presently Confined In Sambhar Sub Jail, District Jaipur).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan Through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Santosh Singh Shakhawat
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP
For Complainant(s)	:	Mr. Azazul Haq

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

18/11/2026

1. Since the instant Criminal Misc. Bail Applications are arising out of the same FIR, therefore, they are being heard and decided by this common order.

Digitally signed by
LALIT MOHAN
Date: 2026.11.26
11:08:05 IST

2. These bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS have been filed on behalf of the petitioners, who have been arrested in connection with FIR No.292/2026 registered at Police Station Renwal, District Jaipur Rural (Raj.) for offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 189(2), 329(3), 352 & 109(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the accused-petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that there is land dispute between the parties. Counsel submits that allegation of causing injuries on the person of the injured, is against the co-accused Ajit. It is submitted that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel submit that the petitioner- Mamta Pooniya who is a female and petitioner- Dhudaram Pooniya who is seventy one years old man, are in custody since 19.10.2026. Further custody of the petitioners would not serve any fruitful purpose.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor assisted by learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioners.

5. I have considered the contentions.

6. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, especially the fact that allegation of causing injury is against the co-accused Ajit, as also the fact that petitioner- Mamta Pooniya is a female and petitioner- Dhudaram Pooniya is a seventy one years old men, so also considering that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the period of custody, but without commenting anything

on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow these bail applications.

7. These bail applications are accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioners- **(1) Mamta Pooniya D/o Shri Pokhermal W/o Shri Mahendra Takhar & (2) Dhudaram Pooniya S/o Shri Kaluram** shall be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. It is made clear that the accused-petitioners shall not involve in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail.

9. If breach of the condition is reported or come to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to the petitioners by this Court.

10. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

93-94/LALIT MOHAN