HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17978/2026
CNR: RJHC021085462026 | URN: CRLMB / 33079U / 2026

Rajveer Gurjar S/o Shri Rajpal, aged 30 years, R/o Akbarpur, Police Station Shrimahaveerji, District Karauli, Raj. (At present accused-petitioner Confined In District Jail Karauli).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan Through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Jiya Ur Rahman
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

17/11/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.131/2026 registered at Police Station Mahaveerji, District Karauli (Rajasthan) for offence punishable under Section 8/21 of NDPS Act. During the course of investigation, offence punishable under Section 8/29 of NDPS Act has been added against the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that no contraband has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Counsel submits that co-accused Love Singh, who was found in possession of the contraband has already been granted benefit of bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court. It is submitted that trial is likely to take considerable time in its

Digitally signed by
LALIT MOHAN
Date: 2026.11.26
11:04:00 IST

conclusion. Counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since his date of arrest and further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of petitioner. He submits that petitioner is habitual offender as eight other cases have been registered against him, out of which four are of similar nature.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, especially the fact that no contraband has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner, as also the fact that co-accused, who was found in possession of the contraband has already been granted benefit of bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court, so also considering that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow the bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner – **Rajveer Gurjar S/o Shri Rajpal** shall be released on bail provided, he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.3,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.1,50,000/-, each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so. The learned trial Court shall also verify the address and contact details of the

sureties through concerned SHO before releasing the accused-petitioner on bail.

7. Considering the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, it is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station till conclusion of the trial.

8. Concerned SHO shall enter attendance of the petitioner in the Roznamcha. In case the petitioner fails to mark his presence in the concerned police station, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

9. If any breach of these conditions is reported or come to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to him by this Court.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

11. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

136/LALIT MOHAN