HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17841/2026
CNR: RJHC021082272026 | URN: CRLMB / 32825U / 2026

Sakir Mohammed @ Seenu Khan S/o Hakeem Mohammed, aged about 24 years, R/o Mandi Pada Borkheda at present House No. 81 Surya Nagar Rural Plice Line Thana Borkheda Kota City Rajasthan.
(At present confined at Central Jail, Kota).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abdul Rahim Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

19/11/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.520/2026 registered at Police Station Udoyognagar (Kota City), District Kota City (Raj.) for offences punishable under Sections 3/25, 4/25 & 9/25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Amendment 2019) and Sections 310(4) & 310(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Counsel submits that the allegation against the petitioner and other co-accused persons is that they were planning to commit a dacoity. Counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since 16.10.2026. He

Digitally signed by
RAVI
KHANDELWAL
Date: 2026.11.26
18:33:05 IST

argues that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion and further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner. He submits that there are two criminal antecedents registered against the petitioner.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, especially considering the material available on record; as also the fact that nature of allegation; and trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the custody period, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow this bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner- **Sakir Mohammed @ Seenu Khan S/o Hakeem Mohammed** shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.5,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.2,50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. Considering the criminal antecedents of the petitioners, it is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his

presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station, till conclusion of the trial.

8. Concerned SHO shall enter attendance of the petitioner in the Roznamcha. In case the petitioner fails to mark his presence in the concerned police station, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

9. If any breach of these conditions is reported or comes to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to him by this Court.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

11. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of this bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

Crt_16/D-A/94/RAVI KHANDELWAL