HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17803/2026
CNR: RJHC021082262026 | URN: CRLMB / 32753U / 2026

Sohel Abbasi S/o Mohammed Umar, R/o Manohar Bavdi Bundi Police Thana Kotwali Bundi District Bundi Rajasthan.
(At present confined at District Jail, Bundi).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abdul Rahim Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

19/11/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.357/2026 registered at Police Station Kotwali Bundi, District Bundi for offences punishable under Section 8/21 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short "NDPS Act").

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that false recovery of 49 gm 63 mg of *smack* has been shown from the petitioner. Counsel submits that alleged recovered contraband is below commercial quantity and therefore, Section 37 of the NDPS Act is not attracted. It is submitted that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel submits that there is

Digitally signed by
RAVI
KHANDELWAL
Date: 2026.11.26
18:32:56 IST

no criminal antecedent against the petitioner. Counsel further submits that petitioner is in custody since 22.10.2026 and further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of petitioner.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, especially the fact that alleged contraband is below commercial quantity; absence of criminal antecedent and petitioner is in custody since 22.10.2026 and trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow the bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner- **Sohel Abbasi S/o Mohammed Umar** shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.3,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.1,50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve in any other similar offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station till conclusion of trial.

8. Concerned SHO shall enter attendance of the petitioner in

the Roznamcha. In case the petitioner fails to mark his presence in the concerned police station, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

9. If any breach of these conditions is reported or comes to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to him by this Court.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

11. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

Crt_16/D-A/91/RAVI KHANDELWAL