HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17727/2026
CNR: RJHC021076122026 | URN: CRLMB / 32582U / 2026

Rakesh @ Billo @ Mukesh S/o Shri Khaliram @ Dayalo, aged about 20 years, R/o Basawa, Police Station Gathara, District Jhunjhunu (Raj.).
(At present confined in District Jail, Sikar)

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Devanshu Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

19/11/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.157/2026 registered at Police Station Nechhwa, District Sikar (Raj.) for offences punishable under Section 303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Counsel submits that the alleged offence is triable by a Magistrate for which the maximum punishment in the first case is three years. Counsel submits that the FIR has been lodged in the month of September with regard to an incident that took place in the month of April. Counsel submits that the petitioner is a young boy aged

Digitally signed by
RAVI
KHANDELWAL
Date: 2026.11.26
18:32:52 IST

20 years. Counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since 24.09.2026. He argues that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion and further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner. He submits that there are two criminal antecedents against the petitioner.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, especially considering the material available on record; as also the fact that the alleged offence is triable by Magistrate; and trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the custody period, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow this bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner- **Rakesh @ Billo @ Mukesh S/o Shri Khaliram @ Dayalo** shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. Considering the criminal antecedents of the petitioners, it is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve in any

other offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station, till conclusion of the trial.

8. Concerned SHO shall enter attendance of the petitioner in the Roznamcha. In case the petitioner fails to mark his presence in the concerned police station, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

9. If any breach of these conditions is reported or comes to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to him by this Court.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

11. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of this bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

Crt_16/D-A/89/RAVI KHANDELWAL