HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17558/2026
CNR: RJHC021068442026 | URN: CRLMB / 32263U / 2026

Babulal Saini S/o Shri Jhutharam Saini, aged about 51 years, R/o Bagdawali Dhani,nindar, Police Station Harmada,jaipur, Rajasthan presently confined at Sub-Jail, Neem Ka Thana.

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ajatshatru Mina
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, P.P.
For Complainant(s)	:	Mr. Hanish Khan

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN
Order

17/11/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.108/2026 registered at Police Station Khandela, District Sikar (Raj.) for offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) and 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Counsel submits that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate and based on documents, which have been allegedly recovered by the Investigating Agency. Counsel submits that further incarceration of the petitioner neither required nor warranted. Counsel submits that there is no criminal antecedent of the petitioner. Counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since date of his arrest. He argues that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion.

Digitally signed by
RAVI
KHANDELWAL
Date: 2026.11.19
10:48:00 IST

3. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant have opposed the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the arguments advanced by both the parties; especially the fact that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate; nature of dispute which is based on documents; absence of criminal antecedents and trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the custody period, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow this bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner- **Babulal Saini S/o Shri Jhutharam Saini** shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J