HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 1220/2024
CNR: RJHC021066922024 | URN: CCP / 1311U / 2024

Arya Pratinidhi Sabha Rajasthan, Rajapark Jaipur Dwara Mantri Ravishankar Arya

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Smt. Manju Rajpal, Pramukh Shasan Sachiv Sahkarita Vibhag Shasan Sachivalay Jaipur
2. Smt. Manju Rajpal, Registrar Sahkari Samitiya Sahkar Bhawan, Jaipur
3. Shri Ranveer Parihar, Registrar Sansthay Samudayik Kendra, Dev Nagar Tonk Road, Jaipur
4. Jeevvardhan Shastri S/o Damodar, Padchyut Nivartman Tathakathit Mantri Arya Pratinidhi Sabha Rajasthan Raja Park, Jaipur
5. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Sehkarita Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ved Pal Shastri
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. S.S. Naruka, AAG, with Mr. Tanishq Aditya Parwar, Mr. Sandeep Pathak

---

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

27/10/2026

1. This contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging non-compliance of the order dated 12.02.2024 passed in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 1933/2024 whereby the order dated 05.12.2023 passed by the Registrar Cooperative Society in favour of one Jeevvardhan Shastri, who is respondent No. 4, was stayed.

2. Vide order dated 05.12.2023, the changed Executive Committee of the Arya Pratinidhi Sabha Rajasthan, District Jaipur, was taken on record under the provisions of Rajasthan Societies Registration, Act, 1958.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the State Authorities i.e. the Registrar Cooperative Society Rajasthan Jaipur has issued an order dated 23.12.2024 making order dated 05.12.2023 as ineffective, but the petitioner is not being allowed to enter in the office and operate the bank accounts by the respondent No. 4.

4. As far as making ineffective the Executive Committee, which was entered into record vide order dated 05.12.2023 is concerned, the Registrar Cooperative Society Rajasthan has already passed the order dated 23.12.2024 making the stay entries ineffective and this Court feels that compliance has been made on the part of the respondent-authorities.

5. As regards the allegation of the petitioner that the respondent No. 4 is not allowing the petitioner to enter into the office and operate the bank accounts, this Court finds that there is no specific directions of the Court to allow the petitioner to operate the bank accounts, however, if the petitioner feels that it is elected as valid Executive Committee as per the law and if someone is obstructing in its functioning, then the petitioner would be having remedy under law by approaching the Authority of the Cooperative Department or the Authorities.

6. As far as the order, which is subject matter of this contempt petition, is concerned, in view of the order dated 23.12.2024 issued by the Cooperative Society Rajasthan Jaipur, in

the opinion of this Court the substantial compliance has been made by the respondents.

7. Hence, this contempt petition is disposed of.

8. Contempt notices stand discharged.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

1/SHRUTI SHARMA