HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17394/2026
CNR: RJHC021061002026 | URN: CRLMB / 31988U / 2026

Jagdish S/o Pappu, aged 22 years, R/o Behind Girls School, Manota Kalan, P.S. Khetri Nagar, District Jhunjhunu (Raj). (At present confined in District Jail- Jhunjhunu).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Punia
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

18/11/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.62/2026 registered at Police Station Khetri Nagar, District Jhunjhunu (Rajasthan) for offence punishable under Section 303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023. During the course of investigation, offences punishable under Sections 305(a), 331(4) & 112(2) of BNS, 2023 have been added against the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate. It is submitted that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since 26.04.2026 and further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

Digitally signed by
LALIT MOHAN
Date: 2026.11.26
11:07:53 IST

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of petitioner. He submits that petitioner is habitual offender as fifteen other cases have been registered against the petitioner.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, especially the fact that alleged offence is triable by Magistrate, as also the fact that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the period of custody, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow the bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner – **Jagdish S/o Pappu** shall be released on bail provided, he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/-, each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. Considering the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, it is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station till conclusion of the trial.

8. Concerned SHO shall enter attendance of the petitioner in the Roznamcha. In case the petitioner fails to mark his presence

in the concerned police station, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

9. If any breach of these conditions is reported or come to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to him by this Court.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

11. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

86/LALIT MOHAN