HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17388/2026
CNR: RJHC021048872026 | URN: CRLMB / 31981U / 2026

Shubham Urf Shinku S/o Jagdish, Aged about 29 years, R/o Nahargarh Road Bhanwargarh District Baran (Raj.) (At Present confined in Central Jail Baran)

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan through its Pubic Prosecutor.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Chandrashekhar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP
For Complainant(s)	:	Mr. Girish Khandelwal

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

18/11/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.101/2026 registered at Police Station Bhanwargarh, District Baran (Raj.) for offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(3), 110 & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023.

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the accused-petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that it is a case of free fight wherein both parties have sustained injuries. Counsel submits that the present FIR was lodged after a delay of three days from the date of the incident. it is submitted that there is no criminal antecedent against the petitioner and trial is likely to take considerable time in its

Digitally signed by
LALIT MOHAN
Date: 2026.11.26
11:07:48 IST

conclusion. Counsel submits that the petitioner is in custody since his date of arrest and further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor assisted by learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner. It is submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of causing life threatening injury on the person of the injured Dharmendra @ Dharmu and investigation is still going on.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties, especially the fact that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of causing life threatening injury on the person of the injured, as also the fact that investigation is still going on as well as looking to the nature and gravity of offences, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Misc. Bail Application stands dismissed.

7. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

85/LALIT MOHAN