HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17098/2026
CNR: RJHC021043272026 | URN: CRLMB / 31514U / 2026

1. Saurabh Sharma son of Subhash Sharma, age 29 years, resident of near Sitaram Ji Ka Temple, Weir, Police Station, Weir District Bharatpur (at present lodged in the Central Jail, Jaipur).
2. Himanshu Sharma S/o Devendra Sharma, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Near Gandhi Chowk, Ward No. 10, Halena, Police Station, Halena, District Bharatpur. (at present lodged in the Central Jail, Jaipur.).

----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through the Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17099/2026
CNR: RJHC021044122026 | URN: CRLMB / 31516U / 2026

Shivam Choudhary Son of Satveer Singh, aged about 28 years, Resident of House No. 419, Near Sarkari Hospital Pahari, Police Station Pahari, District Deeg (Raj.) (At present petitioner is confined in Central Jail, Jaipur)

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan- through Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ashvin Garg with Mr. Nonit Hatila Mr. Rajendra Singh with Mr. Jyotsana Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Gupta, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

28/10/2026

1. Since the instant Criminal Misc. Bail Applications are arising out of the same FIR, therefore, they are being heard and decided by this common order.

2. These bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS have been filed on behalf of the petitioners, who have been arrested in connection with FIR No.8/2026 registered at Special Police Station (SOG), District ATS & SOG (Rajasthan) for offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 & 120B of IPC and Section 66D of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that similarly situated co-accused persons have already been granted benefit of bail by this Court as well as co-ordinate Bench of this Court and case of the petitioners is on similar footing. It is submitted that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel submits that petitioners are in custody since 12.10.2026 and further custody of the petitioners would not serve any fruitful purpose.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of petitioners.

5. I have considered the contentions.

6. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, especially considering the fact that similarly situated co-accused persons have already been granted benefit of bail by this Court as well as co-ordinate Bench of this Court, as also the fact that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the period of custody, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow these bail applications.

7. These bail applications are accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioners – **(1) Saurabh Sharma son of Subhash Sharma, (2) Himanshu Sharma S/o Devendra Sharma & (3) Shivam Choudhary Son of Satveer Singh** shall be released on bail provided, each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/-, each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

125-126/LALIT MOHAN