HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17108/2026
CNR: RJHC021042712026 | URN: CRLMB / 31530U / 2026

1. Harish Kumar Aswani S/o Late Shri Vasumal Aswani, Aged about 43 Years, R/o H.no. 8,9A, Guari Nagar, Friends Colony, Vaishali Nagar, Ajmer. (At present confined in Central Jail, Ajmer).
2. Ghanshyam Rangwani S/o Sachanand, Aged about 47 years, R/o Nehru Nagar, Satguru Colony, Ajay Nagar, Ajmer. (At present confined in Central Jail, Ajmer).
3. Jatin Shah S/o Kanakchand, Aged about 52 years, R/o 4/75, B-Block, Panchsheel Nagar, Present Foysagar Road, Ajmer. (At present confined in Central Jail, Ajmer).

----Petitioners

Versus

The State of Rajasthan, through the Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Aayush Agarwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Gupta, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

28/10/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioners, who have been arrested in connection with FIR No.310/2026 registered at Police Station Krishnaganj, District Ajmer (Raj.) for offences punishable under Sections 112(2), 318(4) & 61(2)(a) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita (in short, 'BNS'), 2023 and Sections 3 & 4 of Rajasthan Public Gambling Ordinance, 1949.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this bail application qua the petitioner Nos.1 and 3 with

liberty to renew the prayer for bail after submission of result of investigation.

3. Permission sought for is granted.

4. Accordingly, this Criminal Misc. Bail Application is dismissed as withdrawn qua the petitioner Nos.1 & 3 namely- **Harish Kumar Aswani S/o Late Shri Vasumal Aswani** and **Jatin Shah S/o Kanakchand** with liberty as prayed for**.**

5. So far as the petitioner No.2 is concerned, learned counsel submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate. It is submitted that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel further submits that petitioner is in custody since 12.10.2026 and further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

6. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner.

7. I have considered the contentions.

8. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, especially the fact that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate, as also the fact that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the period of custody, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow the bail application.

9. This bail application is partly allowed qua the petitioner No.2 and it is directed that accused-petitioner No.2 – **Ghanshyam Rangwani S/o Sachanand** shall be released on bail provided, he

furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/-, each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

10. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

128(s)/LALIT MOHAN