HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17052/2026
CNR: RJHC021038212026 | URN: CRLMB / 31449U / 2026

Kamod Meena D/o Devnarayan Meena W/o Mahesh Kumar Meena, age 32 years, R/o Mahadevpura, Police Station Chaksu District Jaipur (Petitioner is in judicial Custody Central Jail Jaipur)

----Petitioner

Versus

The State of Rajasthan Through P.P.

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17053/2026
CNR: RJHC021038312026 | URN: CRLMB / 31451U / 2026

Manraj S/o Jagdish Meena age 38 years R/o Pratappura, Police Station Newai District Tonk Rajasthan (Petitioner is in Judicial Custody Central Jail Jaipur)

----Petitioner

Versus

The State of Rajasthan Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abhishek Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Gupta, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

28/10/2026

1. Since the instant Criminal Misc. Bail Applications are arising out of the same FIR, therefore, they are being heard and decided by this common order.

2. These bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS have been filed on behalf of the petitioners, who have been arrested in connection with FIR No.7/2025 registered at Special Police Station

(SOG), District ATS & SOG (Rajasthan) for offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 & 120B of IPC, Section 3, 4 & 6 of Rajasthan Public Examination (Use of Unfair Means) Act, 1992 and Section 66 of Information Technology Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that co-accused namely- Meera Bai Meena, Santosh Kumari Meena, Shadan Khan, Sanjay Mathur and Poonam Mathur have already been granted benefit of bail by this Court as well as co-ordinate Bench of this Court. It is submitted that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel submits that petitioners are in custody since 08.10.2026 and further custody of the petitioners would not serve any fruitful purpose.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of petitioners. He submits that there is serious allegation against the petitioner- Kamod Meena to get appointment by manipulating the OMR-sheet.

5. I have considered the contentions.

6. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, especially considering the fact that aforesaid co-accused persons have already been granted benefit of bail by this Court as well as co-ordinate Bench of this Court, as also the fact that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the period of custody, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow these bail applications.

7. These bail applications are accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioners – **(1) Kamod Meena D/o Devnarayan Meena W/o Mahesh Kumar Meena & (2) Manraj S/o Jagdish Meena** shall be released on bail provided, each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/-, each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

121-122(s)/LALIT MOHAN