HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.21776/2026
CNR: RJHC021038032026 | URN: CW / 45706U / 2026

Nathu Lal Sahu (Senior Citizen) S/o Shri Roop Narayan Sahu, Aged About 71 Years, R/o Plot No. 30, Chodhary Colony, Opposite Main Post Office, Sawai Madhopur Road, Ward No. 18, District Tonk.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation, Through Chairman Cum Managing Director, Head Office, Parivahan Marg, Chomu House, C-Scheme, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Chief Manager, Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation, Tonk Depot, District Tonk, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rahul Ghiya
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Devyani Rathore

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

29/10/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would feel satisfied, if the respondent-Corporation is directed to consider petitioner's representation in light of the Judgment dated 02.12.2021 rendered by the Division Bench of this Court in D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 524/2018 (**The Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation & Anr. vs. Tara Chand**), expeditiously in accordance with law.

2. The present writ petition is, therefore, disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to address a representation before the Competent Authority of the respondent-Corporation along with

Digitally signed by
KARAN BHUTANI
Date: 2026.10.30
13:04:42 IST

web copy of the judgment dated 02.12.2021 rendered in case of **Tara Chand** (supra) and the certified copy of the order instant within a period of four weeks.

3. In case, the representation is so addressed, the Competent Authority shall consider the same in accordance with law including the judgment passed in case of **Tara Chand** (supra), as early as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the receipt thereof.

4. It is made clear that aforesaid direction to decide the representation has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of petitioner’s grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to decide the representation in a particular manner.

5. The stay application also stands disposed of accordingly.

6. The order has been passed based on the submissions made in the petition, the respondents would be free to examine the veracity of the submissions made in the petition and only in case, the averments made therein are found to be correct, the petitioner would be entitled to the relief.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

27/Karan