HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 21615/2026
CNR: RJHC021033642026 | URN: CW / 45380U / 2026

Archana Sharma Daughter Of Shri Mohan Lal Sharma, Wife Of Late Shri Lokesh Kumar Sharma, Aged About 55 Years, Resident Of Plot No. 15, New Jawahar Nagar, District Center Dadabari, Kota (Raj.) Presently Posted On The Post Of Principal, At Government Girls Senior Secondary School Kotri Gordhapura, Kota (Raj.) Employment Id- Rjjp199718014216.

----Petitioner

Versus

1 State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.)

2 Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

3 Joint Director, Secondary Education Department, Kota Division Kota.

4 District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Kota, District Kota (Raj.)

5 Chief District Education Officer, Kota City, Kota, Rajasthan.

6 Chief Block Education Officer, Kota City, Kota, Rajasthan.

7 Meenkashi Soni, Principal, Government Senior Secondary School Khajuri Deoli, Kota (Raj.)

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. A.K. Arora for Ms. Komal Kumar Giri
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Devansh Sharma Mr. Ayush Bansal Mr. Pawan Sharma

---

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

28/10/2026

1. The instant writ petition has been preferred by the petitioner against the impugned order dated 13.10.2026, passed by the

respondent No.2, by which the representation submitted by the petitioner against the impugned transfer order dated 10.07.2026 has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was transferred from Kotri Gordhanpura, Kota to Khalsa, Baran by the respondent No.2 vide order dated 22.09.2025. The petitioner assailed the aforesaid transfer order dated 22.09.2025 before the Rajasthan Civil Services Appellate Tribunal (for short "Tribunal") wherein the Tribunal stayed the effect and operation of the transfer order dated 22.09.2025 vide order dated 14.10.2025. Thereafter, the petitioner was allowed to join his duty on 16.02.2026. Now, again the petitioner has been transferred from Kalsa, baran to Dhulet, Kota vide impugned transfer order dated 10.07.2026. Learned counsel submits that aggrieved by the aforesaid transfer order dated 10.07.2026 passed by the respondent No.2, the petitioner approached this Court by way of filing a writ petition bearing SB CWP No.15483/2026. The said writ petition was decided vide order dated 17.08.2026, directing the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner, but the petitioner's representation has been rejected vide impugned order dated 13.10.2026. Hence, under the circumstances the petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the instant writ petition.

3. It appears that the petitioner is aggrieved by the original transfer order dated 10.07.2026, and the subsequent order dated 13.10.2026 by which the representation of the petitioner has been rejected. In the considered opinion of this Court, the aforesaid orders are appelable in terms of Rule 4 of the Rajasthan Civil

Services Appellate Tribunal Act 1976 (for short "the Act of 1976") before the Rajasthan Civil Services Appellate Tribunal (for short "Tribunal"). Without availing the alternative statutory remedy of filing an appeal before the Tribunal, the petitioner has straightaway approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition bypassing the jurisdiction of the Tribunal.

4. On this count alone the instant writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file appeal before the Tribunal. In case an appeal is preferred by the petitioner within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, it is expected from the Tribunal to decide the said appeal expeditiously as early as possible, in accordance with law, ignoring the period of limitation.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

GAURAV SHARMA/43