**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 21586/2026
CNR: RJHC021032752026 | URN: CW / 45327U / 2026

1. Shanti Lal Meena, Son Of Shri Bhanji Meena, Aged About 42 Years, Resident Of Anoppura, Post Suhagpura, District Pratapgarh, Teacher Grade III Level 2, Hindi, Government Senior Secondary School, Gopalpura Block, Dhariyabad, District Pratapgarh (Rajasthan)
2. Smt. Varsha Rani Chauhan, Wife Of Kamla Shankar Kumawat, Aged About 52 Years, Resident Of Pratapgarh, Teacher Grade III Level First, Government Senior Secondary School, Pipalkhut, District Pratapgarh (Rajasthan).
3. Babulal Meena, Son Of Shri Devilal Meena, Aged About 34 Years, Resident Of Kalapani Block Dalot District Pratapgarh, Teacher Grade III, Level First, Government Upper Primary School Maru Kheda (406070), P E O Dhantali Block Dalot District Pratapgarh Rajasthan
4. Smt. Gayatri Rathod, Wife Of Mr. Rajendra Shahu, Aged About 47 Years, Resident Of Pratapgarh, Teacher Grade III, Level 2, Sanskrit Government Senior Secondary School, Sobaniya Block, Pipalkhut, District Pratapgarh, Rajasthan

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Additional Chief Secretary, School Education, Government Secretariat, Jaipur Rajasthan
2. Director Elementary/secondary Education, Bikaner
3. District Education Officer, Hq. Secondary Education, Pratapgarh
4. District Education Officer, Hq. Ele. Education Pratapgarh

----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sudhir Gupta
For Respondent(s) :

---

**JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND**

**Order**

**28/10/2026**

1. A limited prayer has been made for issuing direction to the respondents to decide the representation(s) filed by the petitioners in an expeditious manner, preferably within a stipulated time.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that the representation(s) submitted by the petitioners is still pending for adjudication before the respondent authorities but without any justified reason, the same has not been decided by them and therefore, appropriate direction be issued to the respondents to decide the representation(s) submitted by the petitioners.

3. Considering the arguments put forward by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant writ petition stands disposed of, by issuing direction to the respondents to decide the representation(s) of the petitioner (pending, if any) expeditiously as early as possible.

4. Before parting with this order, it is made clear that this Court has not issued any order or direction to decide the representation(s) of the petitioners in a particular manner. The respondents would be at liberty to decide the same strictly in accordance with law.

5 The stay application and all pending application(s),if any, stands disposed of.

**(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J**

Ashu/36