HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 17018/2026
CNR: RJHC021032502026 | URN: CRLMB / 31370U / 2026

Shahid S/o Jaikam, aged about 22 years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg.
(At present accused petitioner confined in Sub Jail Deeg).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rahul Sinsinwar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. N.S. Dhakad,P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

27/10/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.361/2025 registered at Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 317(5), 303(2), 308(2), 313 & 61(2)(a) of BNS, 2023 and Section 66D of I.T. Act.

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the accused-petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel submits that the other co-accused persons namely Najim and Vakil @ Vakki, who were apprehended at the spot, have already been granted the benefit of bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court. Counsel submits that there are no criminal antecedents against the petitioner and trial of the case

will take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel contends that the petitioner is in custody since 01.10.2026 and further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, especially the fact that the other co-accused persons namely Najim and Vakil @ Vakki, who were apprehended at the spot, have already been granted the benefit of bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court; absence of criminal antecedents and trial will take time in its conclusion as well as looking to the period of custody, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, I deem it proper to allow the bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner- **Shahid S/o Jaikam** shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only) together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

109 - RAVI KHANDELWAL