HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16899/2026
CNR: RJHC021029072026 | URN: CRLMB / 31183U / 2026

Rahul @ Golu S/o Ranglal, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Jheenjhan, Police Station Sikandara, District Dausa, Rajasthan. (Presently Confined At District Jail Dausa).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Chandra Shekhar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP
For Complainant(s)	:	Mr. Aditya Sharma

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

28/10/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.403/2026 registered at Police Station Sikandra, District Dausa for offences under Sections 307 of BNS, 2023.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and counsel for the complainant and perused the material available on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the allegation against the petitioner is of snatching mobile phone and cash amount from the complainant and the victim. He further contends that the complainant-Kaluram Lohar and victim-Fauji have settled their dispute amicable with the petitioner and filed

Digitally signed by
DUSHYANT SINGH
Date: 2026.10.29
11:25:31 IST

the comprise before the trial Court itself, a copy of which has also been submitted before this Court. He again submits that the petitioner is behind the bars since 15.09.2026 with six criminal antecedents in which he is already on bail. The investigation/trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody and the bail application of the petitioner may be allowed.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has not refuted the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, has admitted the factum of compromise, and raises no objection if the bail application of the petitioner is allowed.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently oppose the bail application.

6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner **Rahul @ Golu S/o Ranglal,** be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

114/Dushyant