HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16787/2026
CNR: RJHC021025372026 | URN: CRLMB / 30977U / 2026

Pratik Singh Rathore @ Prince Didwana S/o Shri Vikram Singh, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Chak Kharadiya, Presently Residing At Ambika Colony, Near Nehru Bal Niketan School, Ladnun Road, Didwana, Police Station Didwana, District Didwana-Kuchaman, Rajasthan, Presently Confined In District Jail, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Chandi Charan Ratnu
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Naresh Kumar Gupta, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN
Order

23/11/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.0317/2024 registered at Police Station Chirawa, District Jhunjhunu for offences punishable under Section(s) 308(4), 109(1) & 111(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023 and under Section(s) 5 & 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Amendment 2019) and during the course of the investigation, the offences punishable under Section(s) 61(2) of BNS and 3/25 of Arms Act, have been added.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submits that it is a case of no injury and allegation of using fire arm is on the co-accused person who was identified by the complainant during the

Digitally signed by
DANISH USMANI
Date: 2026.11.26
16:36:52 IST

course of the test identification parade. Counsel submits that there is one another criminal case registered against the petitioner, wherein benefit of bail has been extended to him by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 21.04.2026. It is submitted that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel further submits that petitioner is in custody since 12.01.2025 and further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of petitioner.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, especially the fact that the allegation of using fire arm is on the co-accused person and trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the period of custody, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow the bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner- **Pratik Singh Rathore @ Prince Didwana S/o Shri Vikram Singh** shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when

called upon to do so.

7. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve in any other similar offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station till conclusion of the trial.

8. Concerned SHO shall enter attendance of the petitioner in the Roznamcha. In case the petitioner fails to mark his presence in the concerned police station, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

9. If any breach of these conditions is reported or come to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to him by this Court.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

11. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

Crt_16/D-A/42/DANISH