HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 21123/2026
CNR: RJHC021010222026 | URN: CW / 44237U / 2026

1. Sandeep Kumar Jat S/o Shri Om Prakash Choudhary, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Mundiya, Alwar, Owner Of Truck Bearing Number Rj-02Gc-4434.
2. R K And Sons, Through Its Proprietor Shri Ram Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Daudayal Sharma, Registered Office At Delhi Road, Janta Colony, Belaka, Alwar, Owner Of Truck Bearing Number Rj-02Gc-4462, Rj-02Gd-0078, Rj-02Gc-3881, Rj-02Gd-0076.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Transport Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Joint Secretary,mines And Geology Department, Secretrait, Jaipur,rajasthan,
3. Regional Transport Officer, Bharatpur.
4. Regional Transport Officer, Alwar.
5. District Transport Officer, Kotputali, District Kotputali Behror.
6. Regional Transport Officer, Sikar.
7. Regional Transport Officer, Jaipur First.
8. Regional Transport Officer, Jaipur-Ii.
9. District Transport Officer, Jhunjhunu.
10. District Transport Officer, Bhiwadi, District Alwar.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Priyanka Paliwal with Mr. Sunil Rajora on behalf of Mr. Raj Kumar Goyal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sachin Rathore with Mr. Manish Sharma on behalf of Mr. S.S. Naruka, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA
Order

27/10/2026

1. It is jointly submitted by learned counsels appearing for both the parties that the controversy involved in this writ petition is no longer *res-integra* and has already been decided by the Co-

Digitally signed by
DAKSH SAINI
Date: 2026.10.28
18:29:51 IST

ordinate Bench of this Court in ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.18892/2026 (M/s A.K. Sahasha Cont & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)*** decided on 22.09.2026, wherein following directions have been given:

> *"2. In view of the consensus arrived at between the parties, the impugned order, whereby the Registration Certificates of the vehicle owned /possessed by the petitioners were suspended, needs to be set aside, for the reasons that the petitioners were not afforded the opportunity of hearing and that the said vehicles are the source of bread and butter of the petitioners and their families.*
> *3. However, the aforementioned revocation shall only be effective subject to the condition that the petitioners will produce their vehicle before the Transport Department, who will examine and inspect the vehicles' make and design; and in case any mechanical alteration or overloading is found, a detailed inventory shall be prepared and appropriate orders shall be passed strictly in accordance with law.*
> *4. This arrangement shall be valid only for carrying the vehicle to the respective office of DTO for the aforesaid verification/inspection and shall not authorize the vehicle to ply on the road for any other purpose.*
> *5. The petitioners, along with their vehicles concerned, shall report to / before the respective DTO who passed the order of suspension of registration of the subject vehicles, on 12.10.2026, preferably between 11:00 A.M. to 01:00 P.M. Thereafter, due reply shall be filed within a period of 15 days by the petitioners.*
> *6. Audience with respect to the same will be provided by the competent authority on 30.10.2026. Adjudication of the same shall be carried out thereafter within a period of 15 days.*
> *7. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of."*

2. In view of the consensus arrived at between the learned counsels for both the parties, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of the present writ petition in the same terms and with the same directions as granted by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in order dated 22.09.2026.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

4. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J

132/DAKSH