HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 21102/2026
CNR: RJHC021002902026 | URN: CW / 44193U / 2026

Sunil Babu Soyal S/o Shri Kishan Lal Khatik, R/o Near Krishi Mandi Stadium Road Tonk (Raj.) - 304001

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd., Through Secretary, Vidhyut Bhawanm Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. Shri Mahesh Kumar Verma, Assistant Engineer 132 Kv Gss, RVPN, Deoli, Tonk (Raj.)

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr.Sameer Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr.Rahul Lodha, AGC with Mr.Shubham Gupta

---

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

26/10/2026

1. The instant writ petition has been preferred against the impugned transfer order dated 10.07.2026 passed by the respondents by which the petitioner has been transferred from Deoli, Tonk to Sri Ganganagar. Counsel submits that against the aforesaid order, the petitioner submitted a representation, however, the said representation was rejected by the respondents vide impugned order dated 01.10.2026, hence, the petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the instant writ petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that within a short span of two years, the petitioner has been transferred thrice from Barmer to Bhilwara, then from Bhilwara to Deoli and now, from Deoli to Sri Ganganagar. Counsel submits that the father of the

Digitally signed by
AAYUSH SHARMA
Date: 2026.10.29
16:05:20 IST

petitioner is suffering from a slipped disc. He further submits that the petitioner's father backbone was fractured and he is bedridden. Being care giver of his father, the petitioner is not in a position to join the proposed transfer place of posting. However, these facts have been overlooked by the respondents while rejecting the representation of the petitioner vide impugned order dated 01.10.2026.

3. In support of his contentions, learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance upon the order passed by this Court in the case of **Rahul Agarwal Vs. UCO Bank & Ors.** while deciding **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.18831/2026** vide order dated 05.10.2026.

4. *Per contra*, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents opposed the prayed raised by counsel for the petitioner and submitted that the petitioner was appointed to the Department somewhere in the year 2010 and out of his 16 years of service, he has remained posted near his native district at Tonk for a period of 14 years. Counsel submits that on account of a deficit in the number of staff at Sri Ganganagar, the petitioner has been transferred on the ground of administrative exigency. He further submits that the father of the petitioner sustained the slipped disc injury in the year 2013 and fracture in the year 2025. The treatment of the disease, ailment and fracture, if any, suffered by the father of the petitioner is available even at Sri Ganganagar, hence, interference of this Court is not warranted and the instant petition is liable to be rejected.

5. Heard and considered the submissions made at the Bar and perused the material available on record.

6. Perusal of the impugned order dated 01.10.2026 indicates that on account of shortfall of the staff at Sri Ganganagar, the petitioner has been transferred on the ground of administrative exigency. It is worthy to note here that the native place of the petitioner is Tonk and out of 16 years of his services, he has remained posted in the surrounding area of his native place for about 14 years. Furthermore, the treatment of the disease, ailment and fracture suffered by the father of the petitioner is available at Sri Ganganagar, hence, this Court finds no valid reason to entertain the instant writ petition, hence, the same is liable to be and is hereby rejected.

7. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, also stand dismissed.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

167/Aayush Sharma