HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16249/2026
CNR: RJHC020994832026 | URN: CRLMB / 30071U / 2026

Piyush S/o Shri Premsingh, aged about 32 years, R/o Kachroli Police Station Hindoncity, District Karauli, Raj.
(Presently confined in Sub Jail Hindon City, District Karauli, Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

The State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Anshuman Saxena with Mr. Dharamsheel Sharma Ms. Neelam Solanki
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Arti Sharma, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

27/10/2026

1. This bail application has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.524/2025, registered at Police Station Hindon Sadar, District Karauli (Raj.) for offence punishable under Sections 318(4) & 316(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Counsel further submits that the alleged offences are triable by a Magistrate and the maximum punishment prescribed for the same is seven years. Counsel submits that false allegations have been levelled in the FIR and that the dispute relates to money borrowed

by the petitioner from the complainant. Counsel further submits that an amount of Rs.6,85,000/- out of the total amount of Rs.37,00,000/- has already been refunded to the complainant. Counsel further submits that there are no criminal antecedents of a similar nature against the petitioner. Counsel also submits that as per the impugned order, one criminal case is pending against the petitioner for offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 336, 324 and 34 IPC, in which he is on bail, and that the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. I have considered the contentions. Perused the material available on record.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties as noted above, especially considering the material available on record, as also alleged offences are triable by Magistrate and the maximum punishment prescribed for the same is seven years, and also considering the absence of criminal antecedents in similar nature and trial will take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the custody period but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, I deem it proper to allow this bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner - **Piyush S/o Shri Premsingh** shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of

Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of this bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

60 - RAVI KHANDELWAL