HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 20542/2025
CNR: RJHC020993752025 | URN: CW / 45544U / 2025

1. Shri Banwari Lal Sharma S/o Damodar Prasad Sharma, Aged About 62 Years, R/o Plot No. 29-30 Bajrang Colony, Prem Nagar, Khatipura Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur.
2. Smt. Shanti Devi W/o Shri Banwari Lal Sharma, Aged About 58 Years, R/o Plot No. 29-30 Bajrang Colony, Prem Nagar, Khatipura Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Sub Registrar (Second), Stamps And Registration Department, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. The Collector (Stamps), Jaipur-1, Collectorate Office, Collectorate Circle, Bani Park, Jaipur (Rajasthan)
3. Shri Babu Lal S/o Late Shri Gangadhar, R/o Plot No. 416, Tilak Marg, Behind Secretariat, Near Ashok Nagar Police Station, Jaipur.
4. Shri Raj Kumar S/o Late Shri Har Sahay Sharma, R/o Bihari Pura, Tehsil- Amer, District Jaipur

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Lokesh Kumar Atrey
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Chelsi Agrawal for Ms. Mahi Yadav, AAG.

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJAY K. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

27/10/2026

1. It is stated at the Bar by the learned counsel for the respondents that the order impugned passed by the Collector under Section 37 of the Rajasthan Stamp Act, 1998 (for short ‘the Act of 1998’) is revisable before the Chief Controlling Revenue

Authority under Section 65(1) of the Act of 1998, in response to which, learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that on 03.02.2026, a detailed order has been passed by this Court and pursuant to which, petitioners have already deposited Rs.20,00,000/- and, therefore, it is relegated to the remedy of revision that Rs.20,00,000/- be treated as compliance to the third proviso to Section 65 of the Act of 1998.

2. We have heard learned counsel for the parties, considered their submissions and gone through the record of the case including the impugned order.

3. Since the alternative remedy in the shape of Section 65(1) of the Act of 1998 is available with the petitioners, we are not inclined to entertain the present writ petition. However, Rs.20,00,000/- have been deposited by the petitioners, that be taken as sufficient compliance to third proviso to Section 65 of the Act of 1998 and the revisional authorities are directed to consider and dispose of the revision within a period of three months from the date of production of this order and in the meanwhile, interim order dated 03.02.2026 shall remain operational till the revision is decided.

4. All the points are left open, to be raised and decided by the revisional authorities. However, it is made clear that we have not made any observations on the merits of the matter.

5. The present petition is accordingly disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(SAMEER JAIN),J (SANJAY K. AGRAWAL),CJ

109/NAVAL KISHOR-AMIT