HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16093/2026
CNR: RJHC020982942026 | URN: CRLMB / 29817U / 2026

Hameeda Alias Tamanna W/o Sunil Rathore D/o Shakil Ahmed, aged about 36 years, R/o K.G.N. College ke Piche Safal Sijidencey Kota Road Jhalawar Police Station Kotwali Jhalawar District Jhalawar (Raj.)
(At present confined in District Jail Jhalawar (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rohit Khandelwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

18/11/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.474/2026 registered at Police Station Kotwali Jhalawar, District Jhalawar (Raj.) for offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 316(2) and 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023. After completion of investigation, police filed charge-sheet in the matter.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner is a female and has been falsely implicated in the present case. Counsel submits that the alleged offences are triable by a Magistrate and that there is no direct allegation against the petitioner suggesting the role of the petitioner in the alleged

Digitally signed by
RAVI
KHANDELWAL
Date: 2026.11.26
11:22:19 IST

offences. Counsel further submits that the petitioner has a two-years old daughter, who is also in confinement. Counsel further submits that charge-sheet has already been filed. Counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since date of her arrest. He argues that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant have opposed the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner. He submits that there is one criminal antecedent against the petitioner.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties as noted above, especially considering the material available on record in the form of charge-sheet; especially the fact that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate; so also the fact that the petitioner is a female and has a two-years old daughter, who is also in confinement and trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the custody period, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow this bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner- **Hameeda Alias Tamanna W/o Sunil Rathore D/o Shakil Ahmed** shall be released on bail provided she furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that she

shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

Crt_16/D-A/65/RAVI KHANDELWAL