HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 20766/2026
CNR: RJHC020979502026 | URN: CW / 43437U / 2026

Hemlata W/o Deshraj, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Moondiya, Alwar, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Women And Child Development, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The District Collector, Alwar.
3. The Director, Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS), Government Of Rajasthan, 2, Jalpath, Gandhi Nagar, Jaipur.
4. The Child Development Project Officer (CDPO), Kathumar, District Alwar.
5. Rajkumari W/o Gaurav Singh, R/o Mudiya, Tehsil Kathumar, District Alwar.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ripu Daman Singh
For Respondent(s) :

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

27/10/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent No.5 has been granted appointment on the post of ‘Anganwadi Worker’ on the basis of a false and fabricated disability certificate. The petitioner filed a complaint in the form of representation before the District Collector, Alwar, but the representation submitted by the petitioner has not been decided by the Authority concerned by passing a reasoned and speaking

order. Hence, under these circumstances, the petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the instant writ petition seeking appropriate directions.

2. Heard and considered the submissions made at the Bar and perused the material available on record.

3. Without entering into the merits of the case, but looking to the fact that the representation submitted by the petitioner is still lying pending for adjudication on its merits by the authority concerned, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of the instant writ petition with the expectation from the respondents to decide the petitioner's representation pending, if any, expeditiously in accordance with law after hearing the respondent No. 5, against whom the allegations have been levelled.

4. Needless to observe that this Court has not issued any order/directions to the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner in a particular manner. The respondents would be at liberty to decide the same strictly in accordance with law after providing appropriate opportunity of hearing to the respondent No. 5.

5. Stay application and all pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

23/ANMOL