HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15945/2026
CNR: RJHC020967452026 | URN: CRLMB / 29556U / 2026

Panduram Son of Shri Kairsingh, Aged About 26 Years, Resident of Banjara Basti Radi Wali Dhani Tan Bhangdoli, Police Station Thanagazi, District Alwar (Raj.) (At Present Confined in Central Jail Alwar).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Manish Kumar Meena
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Gupta, PP
For Complainant(s)	:	Mr. Gurvinder Singh

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

27/10/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.85/2025 registered at Police Station Thanagazi, District Alwar for offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 189(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023. After completion of investigation, police filed charge-sheet in this matter for offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 115(2), 126(2) & 189(2) of the BNS, 2023.

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the accused-petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It is contended that cross-cases have been registered between the parties and both sides have sustained injuries. Counsel submits

that co-accused namely- Jagdish, Pooran and Moharsingh have already been granted benefit of bail by this Court. Counsel submits that fatal injury on the head of the deceased has been attributed to the co-accused- Prithviraj @ Pratap. It is submitted that trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel submits that petitioner is in custody since 21.04.2025 and further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor assisted by learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner, along with the co-accused, allegedly inflicted beatings on the injured persons, namely Sardar, Lalluram, Rangad, and Kailash. During the course of treatment, Kailash succumbed to the injuries, while the other injured persons received treatment in hospital. It is further submitted that one other injury was also found on the ear of the deceased.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said injury has been attributed to the co-accused Raju.

5. I have considered the contentions.

6. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by both the parties, especially considering the material available on record in the form of charge-sheet, as also the fact that fatal injury on the head of the deceased has been attributed to co-accused- Prithviraj @ Pratap, so also considering that co-accused namely- Jagdish, Pooran and Moharsingh have already been granted benefit of bail

by this Court and trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the custody period, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow the bail application.

7. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner- **Panduram Son of Shri Kairsingh** shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

88(s)/LALIT MOHAN