HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 15705/2026
CNR: RJHC020962872026 | URN: CRLMB / 29043U / 2026

Sandeep Kumar S/o Ramesh Kumar, R/o Kaswali, Police Station Balara, District Sikar (Raj.) (Presently Confined In District Jail, Jhunjhunu).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Second Bail Application No. 15820/2026
CNR: RJHC020974812026 | URN: CRLMB / 29300U / 2026

Anoop Kumar S/o Shri Vijay Kumar, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Ward No. 45, Dundlod, Police Station Mukundgarh, District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan (Presently Confined In District Jail, Jhunjhunu).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through The Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Hitesh Kumar Mr. Nonit Hatila Mr. Chetan Prakash Soni (through VC) Mr. Dikshant Jain Mr. Aju V Josh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

30/10/2026

1. These instant second bail applications have been filed against the orders of the learned trial Court dated 23.09.2026 and

07.09.2026 on behalf of the accused-petitioners under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 16/2026 registered at Police Station Mukandgarh, District Jhunjhunu for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 318(4), 61(2), 112(2) of BNS and under Section 66D of IT Act.

2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioners submits that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; that the accused-petitioners are in custody since long; that charge-sheet has already been filed; that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioners; that similar situated co-accused has already been enlarged on bail and conclusion of trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioners behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the accused-petitioners may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions put forth by learned counsel for the accused-petitioners hereinabove but did not dispute the fact that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioners.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and material available on record. Keeping in view the fact that the accused-petitioners are in custody since 23.01.2026; that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioners; that charge-sheet against the accused-petitioners has already been filed; that similar situated co-accused namely Sunil Kumar @ Sonu has already been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 18.09.2026 in S.B. Criminal

Miscellaneous Second Bail Application No. 13856/2026; that trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.

5. Therefore, these instant second bail applications under Section 483 BNSS are accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioners namely **Sandeep Kumar S/o Ramesh Kumar and Anoop Kumar S/o Shri Vijay Kumar** are ordered to be released on bail, provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioners shall not involve themselves in any offence(s) during currency of the bail.

7. The accused-petitioners are also directed to submit their present address along with mobile numbers to the concerned SHO/IO within three days of release and the concerned SHO/IO shall verify the said mobile numbers and their address. In case, the accused-petitioners changes their address or mobile numbers, they shall submit the same before the concerned SHO/IO and also before the concerned learned Trial Court. The accused-petitioner are further directed to submit to the concerned SHO/IO, a comprehensive list of all electronic devices in their possession, including mobile phones, laptops, tablets, external hard disks and pen drive along with their IMEI numbers and serial numbers within three days of release under this bail order.

8. In case of any breach of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioners for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

9. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court, Jail Authorities and SHO through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J

13-14 D(A)/KAVISH