HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 16252/2026
CNR: RJHC020961692026 | URN: CRLMB / 30074U / 2026

1. Ramcharan Chaudhary S/o Shri Riddhkaran, aged about 28 years, R/o Village Dholpuriya, Police Station Arain, District Ajmer (Rajasthan)

   (Petitioner is currently in judicial custody in Central Jail, Ajmer).

2. Sanwara S/o Shri Raghunath, aged about 51 years, R/o Village Dholpuriya, Police Station Arain, District Ajmer (Rajasthan)
   (Petitioner is currently in judicial custody in Central Jail, Ajmer).

----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Satyam Khandelwal Mr. Bhuvneshwar Shekhawat Mr. Omprakash Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Arti Sharma, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

27/10/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioners, who have been arrested in connection with FIR No.90/2026, registered at Police Station Arain, District Ajmer (Raj.) for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 117(2), 189(2), 351(2) & 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short ‘BNS’) 2023. After completion of investigation, police filed charge-sheet in this matter for

offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 117(2), 351(2), 351(3), 109(1) & 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

2. At this stage, counsel appearing for petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present bail application qua the Petitioner No.2- **Sanwara S/o Shri Raghunath**.

3. Permission sought for is granted.

4. Accordingly, this criminal misc. bail application qua the Petitioner No.2 - **Sanwara S/o Shri Raghunath**, is dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

5. So far as the petitioner No.1 - Ramcharan Chaudhary S/o Shri Riddhkaran is concerned, counsel submits that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. Counsel submits that it is a case of free fight and that cross-cases have been registered between the parties. Counsel further submits that both parties have sustained injuries and that the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 117(2), 189(2), 351(2) and 351(3) of the BNS, 2023, have also been found proved against the complainant. Counsel submits that initially injuries Nos. 1 and 2 were opined to be grievous in nature on account of fractures of the inner and outer walls of the frontal sinus and frontal EDH (Extra Dural Haematoma). However, subsequently at the request of the Investigating Officer, the said injuries were opined to be dangerous to life on the basis of the same material. Counsel submits that the charge-sheet has already been filed. Counsel further submits that the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude and that further detention of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

6. I have considered the contentions.

7. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by counsel for the parties, especially considering the material available on record in the form of charge-sheet, so also the fact that cross cases have been registered between the parties wherein both sides have sustained injuries, and trial will take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the custody period but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner - Ramcharan Chaudhary S/o Shri Riddhkaran on stringent conditions.

8. This bail application is accordingly partly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner- **Ramcharan Chaudhary S/o Shri Riddhkaran** shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

9. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of this bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J