HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15584/2026
CNR: RJHC020959852026 | URN: CRLMB / 28789U / 2026

Vedprakash Alias Kukku S/o Harnarayan, Aged About 64 Years, R/o Birajapada, Purana Shahar, Dholpur, Police Station Kotwali District Dholpur (Presently Confined In District Jail, Dholpur).

----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg with Mr. AS Rathore
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Onkar Singh Rajpurohit, PP Mr. Neeraj Sharma

---

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

27/10/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.365/2026 registered at Police Station Kotwali Dholpur, District Dholpur for offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 126(2) and 109(1) of BNS, 2023.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the complainant and perused the material available on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He submits that his name does not find mention in the FIR. However, the material collected during the course of the investigation allegedly reveals that the petitioner was not present at the time of the commission

Digitally signed by
CHANDAN
Date: 2026.10.28
10:11:15 IST

of the alleged offence. He again submits that the petitioner was in Jaipur at the relevant time for the treatment of his ailment. It is further contended that the petitioner is a 64-year-old senior citizen and has been implicated in the present case solely on account of political rivalry. Petitioner is behind the bars since 11.09.2026 though eleven cases have been reported against him, however, all cases are registered between 1983 to 1999. The investigation/trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody, consequently, the bail application of the petitioner may be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and submit that the complainant is still receiving threats from the petitioner’s side.

5. Upon consideration of the rival submissions and the material available on record, and having regard to the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, particularly the contention that the petitioner was not present at the place of the incident at the relevant time but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner **Vedprakash Alias Kukku S/o Harnarayan**, be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that he

shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

71/Chandan