HHIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 14981/2026
CNR: RJHC020925302026 | URN: CRLMB / 27602U / 2026

1. Robin S/o Abdulla, aged about 21 years, R/o Ganwadi, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg.
(Accused petitioner presently confined in District Jail Deeg).
2. Usman S/o Aasu, aged about 26 years, R/o Ganwadi, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg.
(Accused petitioner presently confined in District Jail Deeg).
3. Munfed S/o Hakku, aged about 22 years, R/o Ganwadi, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg.
(Accused petitioner presently confined in District Jail Deeg).

----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15088/2026
CNR: RJHC020930792026 | URN: CRLMB / 27824U / 2026

Saddam S/o Isloop, aged about 24 years, R/o Parehi, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg.
(At present accused petitioner confined in Sub Jail Deeg).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15089/2026
CNR: RJHC020930822026 | URN: CRLMB / 27825U / 2026

1. Soyab S/o Isloop, aged about 21 years, R/o Parehi, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg.
(At present accused petitioner confined in Sub Jail Deeg).
2. Isloop S/o Ismail, aged about 46 years, R/o Parehi, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg.
(At present accused petitioner confined in Sub Jail Deeg).

----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15091/2026
CNR: RJHC020934442026 | URN: CRLMB / 27828U / 2026

1. Saddam S/o Nasru, aged about 42 years, R/o Village Ganwadi, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg.

(Accused petitioner presently confined in District Jail Deeg).

2. Asiq S/o Nasru, aged about 19 years, R/o Village Ganwadi, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg.
(Accused petitioner presently confined in District Jail Deeg).

----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15565/2026
CNR: RJHC020938282026 | URN: CRLMB / 28744U / 2026

Aasik S/o Ishaak, aged about 20 years, R/o Gawadi, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg, Rajasthan.
(At petitioner presently confined in District Jail, Deeg).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15566/2026
CNR: RJHC020938372026 | URN: CRLMB / 28745U / 2026

1. Kurseed S/o Sitab, aged about 48 years, R/o Ganvdi, Police Station Jurhera, Distt. Deeg. (At Present In Sub Jail Kaman, Deeg).
2. Majal S/o Partap, aged about 47 years, R/o Ganvdi, Police Station Jurhera, Distt. Deeg. (At Present In Sub Jail Kaman, Deeg).
3. Jilsad @ Dilshad S/o Ajeem, aged about 22 years, R/o Ganvdi, Police Station Jurhera, Distt. Deeg.
(At present in Sub Jail Kaman, Deeg).
4. Mubarikh S/o Sabbir, aged about 33 years, R/o Jagadka, Police Station Brijnagar, District Deeg.
(At present in Sub Jail Kaman, Deeg).

----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15567/2026
CNR: RJHC020944112026 | URN: CRLMB / 28747U / 2026

Aasik S/o Aas Mohammad, aged about 25 years, R/o Ganvdi, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Raj.)
(At present confined in Sub Jail Deeg).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. D.K. Dixit with Mr. Harmeet Singh Mr. Sahaj Veer Baweja Mr. Vikram Singh Chauhan Mr. Sanjay Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Arti Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

27/10/2026

1. Since these instant Criminal Misc. Bail Applications are arising out of the same FIR, therefore, they are being heard and decided by this common order.

2. These bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS have been filed on behalf of the petitioners, who have been arrested in connection with FIR No.312/2026 registered at Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Raj.) for offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 317(5), 303(2), 308(2), 313, 112(2) & 61(2)(a) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023 and Section 66D of the Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008. After completion of investigation, police filed charge-sheet in this case.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the accused-petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submit that the alleged offences are triable by Magistrate. Counsel further submit that except one criminal antecedent against the petitioners Saddam and Mubarikh, there is no criminal antecedent against the remaining petitioners. It is submitted that

the trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel submit that the petitioners are in custody since their date of arrest and further custody of the petitioners would not serve any fruitful purpose.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioners. It is submitted that the petitioners are involved in the commission of cyber fraud. It is submitted that a complaint has been registered on the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal against the mobile numbers allegedly being used by the petitioners. As per the aforesaid complaint, a total alleged fraud amount of Rs.87,420/- is attributed to the petitioners. Counsel thus, prays that considering the nature and gravity of offence, benefit of bail may not be extended to the petitioners.

5. I have considered the contentions.

6. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, especially considering the material available on record in the form of charge-sheet, as also the fact that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate, so also considering the fact that except one criminal antecedent against the petitioners Saddam and Mubarikh, there is no criminal antecedent against the remaining petitioners and trial is likely to take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the period of custody, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow these bail applications.

7. These bail applications are accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioners- **(1) Robin S/o Abdulla (2) Usman S/o Aasu (3) Munfed S/o Hakku (4) Saddam S/o Isloop (5) Soyab S/o Isloop (6) Isloop S/o Ismail (7) Saddam S/o Nasru (8) Asiq S/o Nasru (9) Aasik S/o Ishaak (10) Kurseed S/o Sitab (11) Majal S/o Partap (12) Jilsad @ Dilshad S/o Ajeem (13) Mubarikh S/o Sabbir & (14) Aasik S/o Aas Mohammad** shall be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. It is made clear that the accused-petitioners shall not involve themselves in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and they shall mark their presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station till December, 2027.

9. Concerned SHO shall enter attendance of the petitioners in the Roznamcha. In case the petitioners fail to mark their presence in the concerned police station, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

10. If any breach of these conditions is reported or comes to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to the petitioners by this Court.

11. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

12. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of these bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

29-35 - RAVI KHANDELWAL