HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 19187/2026
CNR: RJHC020919272026 | URN: CW / 39949U / 2026

1. Suresh Kumar Verma S/o Shri G.L Verma, aged about 56 Years, R/o A-43, Vivekanand Colony, Ambabari, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Shyam Sunder Jyani S/o Shri Kalu Ram, aged about 47 Years, R/o 2/47, Gandhi Nagar, Lalgarh, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
3. Kartikeya Mishra S/o Shri G.s.p Mishra, aged about 57 Years, R/o 3 Da 14, Ratan Dugar Marg, Jawahar Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
4. Narbada Indoria D/o Shri Nand Lal Indoria, aged about 54 Years, R/o C-170, Vidyut Nagar, Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through The Chief Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur-302005.
2. Department of Personnel, Government of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan - 302005.
3. Union Public Service Commission, Through Secretary, Dholpur House, Shahjahan Road, New Delhi-110069.
4. Union Of India, Through The Secretary, Department Of Personnel and Training, Kartavya Bhawan-03, New Delhi-110001.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sogarwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Raghunandan Sharma with Mr. Ayush Bishnoi Mr. Yash Joshi Mr. Shiv Prakash Dhanera

---

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

27/10/2026

1. By way of filing the instant writ petition, a prayer has been made for issuing directions to the respondents to take a proper decision for sending the recommendations of the Selection Committee to the Union Public Service Commission (UPSC) in terms of the Regulation 6(1) of the Indian Administrative Service (Appointment by Selection) Regulations, 1997 (for short, 'the Regulations of 1997').

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that as per the mandate contained under the Rule 6 of the Regulations of 1997, the State is supposed to pass appropriate orders within a period of 45 days but in spite of passing of six months, till date, no decision has been taken and the Central Government is still in the process of finalizing the promotion process. Hence, under these circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition seeking appropriate directions.

3. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposed the prayer.

4. Looking to the limited prayer so made by the counsel for the petitioners, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of the instant writ petition by issuing directions to the State respondents to take a proper decision on the matter pending before them by way of passing a reasoned order expeditiously, as early as possible, preferably within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

5. Before parting with this order, it is made clear that this Court has not issued any order or directions to the respondents to

decide the matter in a particular manner. They would be at liberty to decide the same strictly in accordance with law.

6. Accordingly, the instant writ petition stands disposed of. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

Ashu/67