HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 1191/2025
CNR: RJHC020889812025 | URN: CCP / 1259U / 2025

Chattar Singh S/o Shri Chunna, Aged About 70 Years, R/o Salarpur, Tehsil Tizara, District Alwar, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1 Smt. Shivangi Swarnakar, Managing Director, Rajasthan State Industrial Investment And Development Corporation Limited, (Riico) Limited, Udhyog Bhawan, Tilak Marg, Jaipur.

2 Ms. Neelam Meena, Land Acquisition Officer, Rajasthan State Industrial Investment And Development Corporation Limited, (Riico) Limited, Udhyog Bhawan, Tilak Marg, Jaipur.

3 Shri G.k. Sharma, Senior Regional Manager, Rajasthan State Industrial Investment And Development Corporation Limited, (Riico) Bhiwadi, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Virendra Yadav
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Ajeet Bhandari, Sr.Adv. With Mr. Jitendra Mishra with Ms. Pragya Singh

---

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA

Order

18/11/2026

1. The present civil contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner for non-compliance of the order dated 20.09.2024.

2. The relevant portion is reproduced as under:

> *"5. Taking into account that there are factual aspects to be gone into, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a representation along-with the affidavits of the co-sharers to the effect that there is no dispute or litigation pending amongst them qua half of the land acquired. Further that there is no objection to the allotment of 25% of developed land qua half of the acquired land and that the compensation amount shall not be claimed for half of the share.*

6. In the eventuality of petitioner filing a representation within four weeks from today, the respondents shall consider it in accordance with law, after providing an opportunity of hearing to the affected party.

7. It would be desirable that the decision is taken within six months from the date of receipt of the representation. In case the petitioner is found entitled for allotment of the 25% of the land, needful shall be done within three months thereafter."

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order dated 20.09.2024, a notice was issued to the petitioner on 23.12.2025. He further submits that when the documents were not given as required under the notice dated 23.12.2025, an order was passed on the representation of the petitioner on 05.09.2026. Learned counsel also draws attention of this Court towards the fact that the petitioner was also left free to submit all the documents as required, if he had the same. However, the petitioner has not submitted the documents till date.

4. Heard and perused the material available on record.

5. This Court finds that the substantial compliance of the order has been made by the respondents. Therefore, this Court does not deem it appropriate to continue the present civil contempt petition.

6. In view of the above, the present civil contempt petition stands dismissed.

7. However, petitioner would be at liberty to seek appropriate remedy as available to him under law.

8. Notices stand discharged.

(BIPIN GUPTA),J

Crt_21/D-A/28/Seema