HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18710/2026
CNR: RJHC020886192026 | URN: CW / 38812U / 2026

Rukseena Wife Of Shri Hakumuddin, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Village Odara, Police Station Kishangarhbas, District Khairthal Tijara.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Home Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Superintendent Of Police, Khairthal Tijara.
3. S.h.o., Police Station Kishangarh Bas, District Khairthal-Tijara.
4. Hasan Son Of Bagsingh, R/o Zoopdi Ka Bas, Odhra, District Khairthal Tijara.
5. Soohil Son Of Hasan, R/o Zoopdi Ka Bas, Odhra, District Khairthal Tijara.
6. Vasim Son Of Hasan, R/o Zoopdi Ka Bas, Odhra, District Khairthal Tijara.
7. Mehardeen Son Of Bagsingh, R/o Zoopdi Ka Bas, Odhra, District Khairthal Tijara.
8. Robin Son Of Mehardeen, R/o Zoopdi Ka Bas, Odhra, District Khairthal Tijara.
9. Jahul Son Of Asraf, R/o Zoopdi Ka Bas, Odhra, District Khairthal Tijara.
10. Shahid Son Of Asraf, R/o Zoopdi Ka Bas, Odhra, District Khairthal Tijara.
11. Hajrat Ali Son Of Ummed, R/o Zoopdi Ka Bas, Odhra, District Khairthal Tijara.
12. Saddam Son Of Ummed, R/o Zoopdi Ka Bas, Odhra, District Khairthal Tijara.
13. Rajjak Son Of Cheti, R/o Zoopdi Ka Bas, Odhra, District Khairthal Tijara.
14. Juber Son Of Hanif, R/o Zoopdi Ka Bas, Odhra, District Khairthal Tijara.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ashutosh Chauhan, Adv. for Mr. Sanjay Khan, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Manju Dave, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA
Order

29/10/2026

1. It is stated that on account of the fact that son of the petitioner performed marriage with daughter of respondent No.4, against wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 14, therefore, private respondents are showing revengeful attitude towards the petitioner and are adamant to cause physical harm to the petitioner. They are also not allowing the petitioner to enter into her native village.

2. It is stated that the petitioner has also lodged FIR against the private respondents.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor has produced the factual report dated 05.10.2026, in which it was mentioned that investigation has been conducted in respect of FIR lodged by the petitioner, however, the allegations levelled against private respondents have not been made out. In fact, the petitioner is residing out of village as per her own wishes.

4. Be that as it may, in view of apprehension shown by the petitioner coupled with FIR lodged by her, it is directed to the petitioner that she shall submit a fresh representation before the respondent No.3, who shall again enquire into the threat perception and after conducting due enquiry, in case, need so arises, necessary action shall be taken by the concerned S.H.O.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the allegations against any particular person, and the enquiry shall be undertaken objectively in accordance with law.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

7. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J

315/Jatin