HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.19177/2026
CNR: RJHC020886002026 | URN: CW / 39917U / 2026

Vinodini Shaktawat D/o Shri R.s. Shaktawat, Aged About 44 Years, Resident Of Plot No.7, Karni Colony, Durga Path, Jaipur, Rajasthan, Presently Working As Teacher Grade-Iii, Level-Ii (Science-Mathematics).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, School Education Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board, Through Its Chairman/secretary, State Institute Of Agriculture Management Campus, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Barmer, Rajasthan.
5. Chief District Education Officer (Elementary Education), Barmer, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Gajender Sharma

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

29/10/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the controversy involved in the instant writ petition has already been decided by the Court in batch of writ petitions with the lead case of **Manoj Kumar Sharma Vs. State of Rajasthan & Others** while deciding **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.4779/2025** on 10.03.2026. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to file representation in view of the order dated

Digitally signed by
KARAN BHUTANI
Date: 2026.10.31
12:11:31 IST

10.03.2026 passed in the case of **Manoj Kumar Sharma** (supra) before the respondents and the respondents be directed to decide the same expeditiously as early as possible within a time frame.

2. Without going into the controversy involved in this petition and without giving any comments that as to whether the controversy involved in this petition is covered with the case of **Manoj Kumar Sharma** (supra) or not, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of the instant writ petition granting liberty to the petitioner to file representation.

3. In case, any representation is submitted by the petitioner within a period of two weeks from today, it is expected from the respondents to decide the same expeditiously as early as possible preferably within a period of three months thereafter by passing a reasoned and speaking order.

4. Stay application as well as all pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

5. Before parting with this order, it is made clear that this Court has not issued any direction/order to the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner in a particular way. The respondents would be at liberty to decide the same strictly in accordance with law.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

37/Karan