HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 14402/2026
CNR: RJHC020859412026 | URN: CRLMB / 26609U / 2026

Ram Bhajan S/o Nekram, R/o Karampura Bari, Police Station Bari, District Dholpur. (At Present Confined In District Jail, Dholpur (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Naresh Kumar Singhal with Mr. Bantoo Mali
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Onkar Singh Rajpurohit, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

27/10/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.64/2026 registered at Police Station Bari, District Dholpur for the offence under Sections 189(2), 115(2), 126(2), 125 and 303(2) of BNS, 2023.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He submits that nothing has been recovered from the petitioner. He further submits that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner and there is no allegation that the petitioner caused any injury to the injured person or the deceased, Nathu. Petitioner is behind the bars since 04.03.2026 without any criminal past. Charge-sheet has already

Digitally signed by
CHANDAN
Date: 2026.10.28
10:10:25 IST

been filed. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody, consequently, the bail application of the petitioner may be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the petitioner was a member of the unlawful assembly and was associated with the co-accused persons who inflicted injuries upon the injured person as well as the deceased, Nathu, which ultimately resulted in the death of the deceased. He further submits that the petitioner's participation in the unlawful assembly attracts serious consideration in view of the gravity and nature of the offence. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to the grant of bail.

5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the material available on record, and the submissions advanced by the learned Public Prosecutor particularly the fact that the presence of the petitioner at the place of occurrence is not disputed and the petitioner was a member of the unlawful assembly that assaulted the deceased, Nathu, this Court, considering the seriousness and gravity of the offence and the prima facie nexus of the petitioner with the alleged offence, is not inclined to extend the benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is hereby dismissed.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

58/Chandan