HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S. B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18798/2026
CNR: RJHC020851812026 | URN: CW / 39035U / 2026

Manoj Kumar Mahawar S/o Sh. Chiranji Lal Mahawar, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Plot No 58 Rajamal Ka Talab Hardev Joshi Colony Jaipur

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Union Of India Through The Secretary, Department Of Telecommunications Ministry Of Communications Government Of India New Delhi
2. Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Chairman And Managing Director Bsnl Corporate Office New Delhi
3. Chief General Manager Telecom Bsnl, Rajasthan Telecom Circle Jaipur
4. Union Of India Chief Secretary, Secretariat Jaipur
5. The Director General Of Police, Rajasthan Police Police Head Quarter Lal Kothi Jaipur
6. The Commissioner Of Police, Commiserate Office M I Road Government Hostel Jaipur
7. The Superintendent Of Police Cyber Crime, Commiserate Office M I Road Government Hostel Jaipur

----Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Brahma Prakash Advocate.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Judgment

29/10/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only on the basis of vague apprehension that petitioner’s mobile number is involved in cyber crime, it has been blocked at the instance of Superintendent of Police, Cyber Crime, Jaipur. Learned counsel submits that there is no FIR or any other criminal complaint

Digitally signed by
MANOJ NARWANI
Date: 2026.10.30
17:03:09 IST

implicating the petitioner as an accused of cyber crime, even then, petitioner has been harassed by blocking his mobile number. Learned counsel further submits that now a days, mobile is not only a device of communication, but all the financial transactions are also done through the mobile.

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case he is granted liberty to submit a fresh representation before the competent authority and directions are issued to the competent authority to consider and decide representation of the petitioner.

3. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation before the competent authority which shall consider the contents of the representation along with record and decide the same by passing speaking and reasoned order within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation along with certified copy of this order.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any view on the merits of the case.

5. Writ petition is disposed, however, with the aforesaid directions.

6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J

24/MANOJ NARWANI