HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 20110/2026
CNR: RJHC020835802026 | URN: CW / 41985U / 2026

Sanjay Yadav S/o Sh. Nanu Ram Yadav, Aged About 26 Years, Ward No. 09, Dhani Kakriya Wali, Post Bharni, Shrimadhopur, District Sikar, Rajasthan-332715

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Home Affairs, Governmernt Of Rajasthan , Secretariat, Jaipur-302005.
2. Maharashtra Bank, Through Its Branch Manger, Maharashtra Bank, Units G-25/26 And B-25/26/27, Unnati Tower, Central Spine, Vidyadhar Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan -302023, IFSC: MAHB0001360.
3. Dig Cyber Crime, Police Headquarters, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302005.
4. SHO, Police Station Sadar UPD, District Cuttack, State Odisha 754001.
5. SHO, Cyber Police Station North, District North, Delhi, 110084.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Aniket Beniwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Devakriti Vashishtha on behalf of Mr. Bhuwnesh Sharma, AAG Mr. Himanshu Tholia

---

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA
Order

30/10/2026

1. Ms. Devakriti Vashishtha, learned counsel, appeared for Mr. Bhuwnesh Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, and accepts notices on behalf of respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the parties at the outset fairly conceded that the controversy involved in this writ petition is

Digitally signed by
DAKSH SAINI
Date: 2026.10.31
16:13:48 IST

squarely covered by judgment dated 20.08.2026 passed by this Court in the case of ***Shree Balaji Enterprises Vs. Reserve Bank of India & Others (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.2679/2026 & other connected writ petitions)***, whereby all the writ petitions were disposed of by this Court with certain directions and observations.

3. This Court in the case of ***Shree Balaji Enterprises (supra)***, while taking note of alarming increase in the cases concerning freezing, debit-freezing, lien marking, holding or seizure of bank accounts in cyber financial crime and all incidental matters, disposed of batch of petitions with certain directions and observations.

4. Thus, the instant writ petition is also disposed of in the light of judgment of this Court delivered in the case of ***Shree Balaji Enterprises (supra)***. The observations and directions issued by this Court in the above case shall *mutatis mutandis* apply to the present writ petition.

5. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J

60/DAKSH