HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 1005/2023
CNR: RJHC020819212023 | URN: CCP / 1051U / 2023

1. Omendra Singh S/o Shri Brijendra Singh, Aged About 64 Years, R/o 1-S-38, Stc Housing Board, Bharatpur (Raj.).
2. Omprakash Bairwa S/o Shri Sohan Lal Bairwa, Aged About 63 Years, R/o Village And Post Mau-Khurd, Tehsil Mandawar, District Dausa (Raj.).
3. Viajy Kumar S/o Shri Baluram, Aged About 65 Years, R/o Kama Bada Mohalla, Dehli Gate, Kama, Bharatpur (Raj.).
4. Harimohan Gaur S/o Shri Krishanmohan Ji, Aged About 63 Years, R/o Behind Old Laxman Temple, Bharatpur (Raj.).
5. Ramesh Chand Pathak S/o Brij Mohan Pathak, Aged About 68 Years, R/o 2/228, Housing Board Colony, Bari Road, Dholpur.
6. Harish Prakash Saxena S/o Jai Narayan Saxena, Aged About 70 Years, R/o 2/167 Housing Board Colony, Bari Road, Dholpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Nathmal Deedel, Managing Director Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation, Head Office Parivahan Marg, Chomu House, C-Scheme, Jaipur.
2. Ramgopal Pareek, The Finance Advisor, Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation, Head Office Parivahan Marg, Chomu House, C-Scheme, Jaipur.

----Contemnors

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Rama Rakh Sharma
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Nitin Sinsinwar

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA

Judgment / Order

20/11/2026

1. The present contempt petition has been filed contending that the order 26.07.2023, passed by a Co ordinate Bench of this Court in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.141/2020, has not been fully complied with in respect of one of the petitioner only i.e. Harish Chand Saxena, whereas it is a admitted position that the order has been fully complied with qua the other five petitioners. On the last date of hearing, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court has passed the following order :

> *"It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that respondents have not complied with the impugned order passed by court in SB Civil Writ Petition No. 141/2020 dated 26.07.2023. It is argued that vide order dated 26.07.2023 respondents were directed to grant the petitioners pay scale of Rs. 6500-10500 on completion of 18 years of service. The court also directed the respondents to grant benefit to the present petitioners accordingly within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the order.*
>
> *It is contended that the respondents in compliance to the order has not granted pensionary benefits to the petitioners and vide annexure-6, petitioners' service was computed as 32 years 3 months and 2 days. It is also contended that vide order in SB 16574/2024 the court gave specific direction with regard to addition of the pensionary service however, respondents have not added the service in accordance to the direction. It is also contended that the amount of Rs. 5,291/- (due against gratuity) has also not been paid. It is also contended that Rs. 98,587/- as pensionary benefit, therefore the may be directed to comply with the order passed in Writ Petition No. 141/2020.*
>
> *Contrarily, it is contended by learned counsel for the respondents that pursuant to order passed in Writ Petition No. 141/2020 dated 26.07.2023 benefit of 18 years of service fixing the petitioner in the pay scale of 6500-10500 has been granted. It is also contended that petitioners filed another writ petition in which separate directions were passed with regard to correct computation of the pensionary service. The aforesaid order in writ petition No 141/2020 has already been comply with and no contempt is made out.*

Heard and perused the material available on record.

Pursuant to the directions rendered in Writ Petition No. 141/2020, the petitioners have been granted benefit of pay scale of 6500-10500 and their revised pension order has been issued.

If the petitioner No. 6 is aggrieved with the non-compliance of order passed in writ petition No. 16754/2024 then petitioner is free to file a separate application.

The respondents are directed to verify the fact whether the amount of Rs. 5,291/- has been paid in petitioner number No. 6 account or not and furnish the relevant document.

List after two weeks."

2. Today, learned counsel for the respondent has supplied a copy of the bill showing that an amount of Rs. 5,291/- has actually been paid to the petitioner No. 6 i.e. Harish Chand Saxena on 18.07.2024.

3. Considered.

4. Looking to the fact that only thing which remained in the present petition was the non-payment of amount of Rs. 5,291/- to petitioner No. 6-Harish Chand Saxena.

5. This Court finds that with regard to same, the bill of payment has been supplied by the learned counsel for the respondent to counsel for the petitioner, therefore this Court does not deem it appropriate to continue the present contempt petition. Accordingly, the present contempt petition stand dismissed.

6. Notices issued in the contempt proceedings stand discharged.

(BIPIN GUPTA),J

Crt_21/D-A/46/KRATIKA