HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17824/2026
CNR: RJHC020765812026 | URN: CW / 37192U / 2026

Smt. Suman W/o Shri Heera Lal, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Plot No. 39/19-A, By-Pass, Mount Road, Purani Basti, Jaipur At Present R/o 48A, 8 Sector Road, Shiv Colony, Vidhyadhar Nagar, Jaipur

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Jaipur Development Authority (Jda), Ram Kishore Vyas Bhawan, J.l.n. Marg, Near Indira Circle Jaipur Through Commissioner.
2. Jaipur Municipal Corporation, Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Bhawan, Lal Kothi, Near Sms Stadium, Tonk Road, Jaipur, Through Its Commissioner

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Devendra Kumar Bhardwaj
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA
Order

28/10/2026

1. The instant writ petition has been preferred with the following prayer:

> *"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that Your Lordships may graciously be pleased to accept and allow this writ petition and i) direct the respondents to allot plots to the petitioner in the scheme of Ramlyawala Dairy Scheme or Ramlyawala Dairy Extension Scheme, Sikar Road, Jaipur in pursuance of the order dated 20.10.2016 passed by the Hon'ble Court. ii) Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may also be given in favour of the Petitioners"*

2. By way of filing the instant writ petition, the petitioner is seeking issuance of directions against the respondents for allotment of plot to her under the scheme of Ramlyawala Dairy

Digitally signed by
Neeru Bala
Date: 2026.11.02
10:12:26 IST

Scheme or Ramlyawala Dairy (Extension) Scheme at Agra Road, Jaipur in pursuance of the order dated 20.10.2016 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court while deciding a bunch of writ petitions with the lead case of **Sankar Lal Yadav versus State of Rajasthan and others (SB Civil Writ Petition No.13521/2014)**.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Coordinate Bench of this Court decided the aforesaid bunch of writ petitions with directions to the Jaipur Development Authority to examine the individual grievance of all the petitioners and consider their case for relocation and rehabilitation as per their eligibility and entitlement against any vacant plot either in Ramlyawala Dairy Scheme at Sikar Road or in Surajpura Bhatawala Dairy Scheme at Tonk Road. Counsel submits that in pursuance to the aforesaid order dated 20.10.2016 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner and other similarly situated persons submitted representations. Counsel further submits that the representations submitted by the similarly situated persons were allowed and alternative plots were allotted to them, but so far as the representation submitted by the petitioner is concerned, the same has not been decided by the respondents for the reasons best known to them, in spite of passing of considerable time. Hence, under these circumstances the petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the instant writ petition seeking appropriate directions.

4. Heard and considered the submissions made at the Bar and perused the material available on the record.

5. Without entering into the merits of the case and looking to the fact that on an earlier occasion when the petitioner along with other co-petitioners and similarly situated persons approached this Court, their writ petitions were clubbed & consolidated and decided together by a common order dated 20.02.2017 with directions to the respondent-JDA to examine their individual grievances.

6. It appears that the petitioners before approaching this Court approached the respondent-JDA by way of filing representation. However, the representation submitted by the petitioner has not been decided by the respondent-JDA for the reasons best known to them.

7. In the considered opinion of this Court, the respondent-JDA is not expected to sit over the matter and keep the same pending for an indefinite period of time. Hence, this Court is of the view that the respondents are supposed to and expected to decide the representation pending, if any, expeditiously in accordance with law not beyond the period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, through passing a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law.

8. With the aforesaid observations, the instant writ petition stands disposed of, stop. The stay application and all pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

9. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of this case.

(ANAND SHARMA),J

D-A/71/NEERU