HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 844/2024
CNR: RJHC020747202024 | URN: CCP / 913U / 2024

Ajay Kumar Sharma S/o Late Shri Harinarayan Sharma, Aged About 72 Years, R/o C-83, Shyam Marg, Shastri Nagar, Jaipur, 302016

----Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri Anand Kumar, Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur
2. Shri Utkal Ranjan Sahoo, Director General Of Police, Police Headquarter, Lalkothi, Jaipur, Rajasthan
3. Shri Sharad Chowdhary, Superintendent Of Police, Intelligence Police Headquarter, Lalkothi, Jaipur, Rajasthan
4. Dr. Devraj, Director, Pension And Pensioners Welfare Department, Amroodo Ka Bagh, Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan
5. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Praveen Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Bhuwnesh Sharma, AAG, assisted by Ms. Sunita Meena & Mr. Siddharth Sharma Mr. Kuldeep Singh Rathore, for R.4

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

29/10/2026

1. This contempt petition has been filed alleging non-compliance of order dated 28.12.2021, passed by the Rajasthan Civil Services Appellate Tribunal (for short, ‘the Tribunal’) in Appeal No. 328/2014.

Digitally signed by GAURAV SRIVASTAVA
Date: 2026.10.31 10:16:58 IST

2. The Tribunal referred the case to the Director General of Police with expectation that the case of Ramu Lal as well as the appellant would be decided within next six weeks. The issue before the Tribunal was with regard to benefit of promotion and seniority from the date of his juniors were promoted.

3. The petitioner appellant has raised the issued before the Tribunal that the petitioner is entitled for benefits of promotion and seniority in comparison to Ramu Lal.

4. Learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent submits that the compliance of the directions of the Tribunal, the respondent have issued an order dated 27.11.2024, whereby the issue of seniority and promotion of the petitioner and so also the other employee Ramu Lal has been taken into consideration and decided. The order dated 27.11.2024 has also been placed on record alongwith the compliance report.

5. In view of the fact that the issue regarding seniority and promotion has already been decided by the respondent as directed by the Tribunal, in opinion of this Court the respondents have complied with the Tribunal’s order, and therefore this contempt petition is disposed off.

6. Contempt notices are discharged.

7. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy available to him under law to assail the order dated 27.11.2024, if he feels aggrieved by the same.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

Gaurav Srivastava/58